

0070476

**SAF-RC-051**  
**100 & 300 Area Component of the**  
**RCBRA - Incremental Soil Sampling**  
**FINAL DATA PACKAGE**

**COMPLETE COPY OF DATA PACKAGE TO:**

Jill Thomson

H0-23

KW 7/27/06  
INITIAL/DATE

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 14 2006

Jackie Queen

H0-23

KW 7/27/06  
INITIAL/DATE

**EDMC**

Jeanette Duncan

H9-02

KW 7/27/06  
INITIAL/DATE

**COMMENTS:**

**SDG F1471      SAF-RC-051**

Rad only    X Chem only      Rad & Chem

X Complete      Partial

**Corrected Bluegrass Report for Soil Plant Toxicity**

**Waste Site: 100-F Riparian #7**



**ELR Consulting, Inc.**

PROJECT MANAGEMENT, ENGINEERING, & TECHNICAL SERVICES

July 20, 2006



Ms. Joan Kessner  
Subcontract Technical Representative  
Washington Closure Hanford LLC  
3070 George Washington Way  
Richland, WA 99354

Dear Ms. Kessner:

**ACUTE SCREENING BIOASSAYS – AMENDED BLUEGRASS REPORTS  
CONTRACT NUMBER 0000X-SC-G0553**

Enclosed are amended Bluegrass reports for the following Sample Delivery Groups:

- BG1542-01 thru 09 – Report amended July 18, 2006
- ✓ • BG1542-01A, -02A, -03A and -08A and BG1566-01 thru 05 –  
Report amended July 19, 2006
- BG1575-01 thru 11 – Report amended July 19, 2006
- BG1589-01 thru 09 – Report amended July 19, 2006

An electronic copy of this information is provided for your convenience.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (509) 531-8774.

Sincerely yours,

Emmett L. Richards  
President

Enclosures

RC-051

F1471

**Table 2: Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Children**

**Table 2: Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Closure Hanford**

Line ID	Sample Number	Significance difference between 14 day Germination compared to Lab Control (%)	Significance difference between Average Root length (mm) compared to Lab Control	Significance difference between Average Root length (mm) compared to Lab Control	Significance difference between Average Root length (mm) compared to Lab Control	Significance difference between Average Root length (mm) compared to Lab Control	Significance difference between Average Root length (mm) compared to Lab Control
<b>Total Germinated and Dead</b>							
Laboratory Control	—	75.8	—	—	—	—	—
BG1542-01A	J10DW4A	84	—	58.5	E <sup>s</sup>	49.2	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-02A	J10DW4A	68	ns	44.8	E <sup>s</sup>	29.3	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-03A	J10DT6A	60	ns	56.8	E <sup>s</sup>	69.3	ns
BG1542-08A	J10LJ5A	100	ns	53.6	E <sup>s</sup>	51.5	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1568-01	J11JB3	96	ns	54.9	E <sup>s</sup>	56.8	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1568-02	J11JB7	92	ns	53.9	E <sup>s</sup>	60.6	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1568-03	J11JH5	100	ns	57.8	E <sup>s</sup>	63.0	ns
BG1568-04	J11JH8	92	ns	55.7	E <sup>s</sup>	64.8	ns
BG1568-05	J11JH4	92	ns	72.7	ns	64.7	E <sup>s</sup>
<b>Germinated</b>							
Laboratory Control	—	81.2	—	28.6	—	4.98	—
BG1542-01A	J10DW4A	84	—	15.1	E <sup>s</sup>	2.71	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-02A	J10DW4A	68	ns	8.8	W <sup>s</sup>	1.86	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-03A	J10DT6A	60	ns	22.8	ns	3.58	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-08A	J10LJ5A	100	ns	16.9	E <sup>s</sup>	2.91	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1568-01	J11JB3	96	ns	27.8	ns	3.67	ns
BG1568-02	J11JB7	92	ns	30.8	ns	3.07	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1568-03	J11JH5	100	ns	32.5	ns	4.25	ns
BG1568-04	J11JH8	92	ns	30.8	ns	3.95	ns
BG1568-05	J11JH4	92	ns	31.7	ns	3.77	ns
<b>Dead</b>							
Laboratory Control	—	38.9	—	1.62	—	68.5	—
BG1542-01A	J10DW4A	84	—	0.95	E <sup>s</sup>	25.9	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-02A	J10DW4A	68	ns	0.98	E <sup>s</sup>	17.7	E <sup>s</sup>
BG1542-03A	J10DT6A	60	ns	21.7	E <sup>s</sup>	1.38	ns
BG1542-08A	J10LJ5A	100	ns	23.0	E <sup>s</sup>	1.46	ns
BG1568-01	J11JB3	96	ns	33.7	ns	1.85	ns
BG1568-02	J11JB7	92	ns	28.5	ns	1.37	ns
BG1568-03	J11JH5	100	ns	32.5	ns	47.1	ns
BG1568-04	J11JH8	92	ns	30.8	ns	2.04	ns
BG1568-05	J11JH4	92	ns	31.7	ns	1.61	ns

**BIOASSAY REPORT  
CHRONIC SCREENING BIOASSAYS  
Conducted April 5 through May 8, 2006**

**Report Amended July 19, 2006**

Prepared for  
**ELR CONSULTING, INC.  
WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD**

Prepared by  
**CH2M HILL  
2300 NW Walnut Boulevard  
Corvallis, Oregon 97330**

July 19, 2006  
**Lab ID. Nos. BG1542-01A, -02A, -03A, and -08A  
And BG1566-01 thru 05  
SDG Number BG1566 and BG1542A**

## **CONTENTS**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	3
METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	3
TEST METHODS.....	3
TEST ORGANISMS .....	3
CONTROL SOIL.....	3
HYDRATION WATER.....	3
TEST CONCENTRATIONS.....	3
SAMPLE COLLECTION.....	4
SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE TABLE.....	4
SAMPLE PREPARATION .....	4
TEST INITIATION.....	5
TEST MONITORING .....	5
WATERING SCHEDULE .....	5
TEST TERMINATION .....	6
DATA ANALYSIS.....	7
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	8
CHRONIC RESULTS .....	8
CERTIFICATION STATEMENT.....	10

**APPENDIX A. RAW DATA SHEETS  
APPENDIX B. CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

## **INTRODUCTION**

CH2M HILL conducted chronic screening bioassay tests using the Sandberg bluegrass (*Poa sandbergii*) on soil samples provided by the ELR Consulting for Washington Closure Hanford, Richland, Washington. The tests were conducted from April 5 through May 8, 2006.

Following recommendations of an additional QA review, the statistical analysis for shoot height and root length presented in the original report (May 25, 2006) were recalculated. Subsequently, this document presents the amended results and serves as the final report.

## **METHODS AND MATERIALS**

### **TEST METHODS**

The chronic test methods were performed according to: *Standard Guide for Conducting Terrestrial Plant Toxicity Tests*, ASTM E 1963-02 (2002).

### **TEST ORGANISMS**

The seeds used were obtained from Native Grass Seeds, Cornville, Arizona. All test conditions were maintained during planting, germination, and growth phases of the test as prescribed by the ASTM protocol.

### **CONTROL SOIL**

The control soil used in the tests was artificial soil comprised of 70 grade silica sand (70 percent by weight), kaolin clay (20 percent), and peat moss (10 percent). Calcium carbonate (0.4 percent of total weight) was added to adjust soil pH to  $7.0 \pm 0.5$ .

### **HYDRATION WATER**

The water used to initially hydrate the control and test soils was Milli-Q equivalent de-ionized water. After initial hydration, all test chambers were watered with half strength Hoagland's solution on an every other day basis. All hydration was accomplished via sub irrigation.

### **TEST CONCENTRATIONS**

The concentration tested in the bluegrass tests was 100 percent test soil with control soil alone for the lab control. For the bluegrass tests, 50 seeds per concentration were used with five replicate test chambers per concentration and 10 seeds planted per chamber. Following germination, test chambers were thinned to a maximum five seedlings per replicate.

## SAMPLE COLLECTION

Individual soil samples used during the testing were collected between October 31, 2005, and December 6, 2005, for the SDG number BG1542 and March 21, 2006 through April 3, 2006, for SDG number BG1566. The samples were stored in the dark at 4°C until the initiation of the initiation of the tests. Chain of Custody for sample collection is provided in Appendix C.

## SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE TABLE

Table 1 provides a cross-reference of the Client ID numbers, sampling dates, sampling locations, Bluegrass test sample identification (SDG) numbers, and Analytical Lab SDG numbers. The SDG 1542 samples were repeat tests from an earlier batch of tests due to a laboratory error on the test endpoint.

Table 1 Sample Cross-Reference				
Client ID	Sample Date	Sample Location	Bluegrass test SDG	Analytical Lab SDG
J10DW4A	10/31/2005	600-131	BG1542-01A	E2748
J10DV4A	11/08/2005	PIT 23	BG1542-02A	E2801
J10DT8A	11/14/2005	Upland Backfill Elevated-100-F-2	BG1542-03A	E2831
J10LJ5A	11/28/2005	Riparin Low-Site #10 Downriver 100-D	BG1542-08A	E2897
J11JB8	03/21/2006	100-K RIPARIAN #5	BG1566-01	F1399
J11JB7	03/26/2006	100-K RIPARIAN #4	BG1566-02	F1421
J11JH5	03/28/2006	100-H RIPARIAN #8	BG1566-03	F1438
J11JH8	04/03/2006	UPPER RIPARIAN #12	BG1566-04	F1470
J11JH4	04/03/2006	100-F RIPARIAN #7	BG1566-05	F1471

## SAMPLE PREPARATION

Test soils and control soil were dried and homogenized prior to use. For each replicate, 90 grams dry weight of soil was added to each test chamber. The soils were initially hydrated with Milli-Q equivalent de-ionized water via sub irrigation. In addition, a sub sample of the soil was added to a surrogate chamber and hydrated for pH measurements.

## **TEST INITIATION**

Tests were initiated by planting 10 seeds in each test chamber. Seeds were planted at a depth of 1  $\frac{1}{2}$  times the seeds diameter (approximately 2 millimeters) and covered gently. A small amount of hydration water (10 mL) was sprayed onto the soil surface to ensure seeds received moisture.

## **TEST MONITORING**

According to information provided by Native Grass Seed (seed supplier), germination should take place between 14 and 28 days. The number of seeds in each test chamber that had germinated was recorded on days 12, 14, 16, 19, 21, and 23. Germination was determined to have occurred on day 19.

Observations of the shoot appearance were recorded 7 days after germination (26 days after planting). The number of germinated seeds in each test chamber was also recorded. Chambers that had more than five germinated seeds had shoots removed to prevent overcrowding. These test chambers were thinned to five seedlings each.

Soil pH was taken at test initiation and termination by placing a subsample of soil into a specimen cup, adding hydration water, and mixing prior to the pH measurement.

## **WATERING SCHEDULE**

Test chambers were hydrated via subirrigation with deionized water prior to test initiation and daily thereafter for the first 3 days via subirrigation. Test sediments were hydrated by placing the all test chambers of the same test concentration into a hydration chamber containing deionized water and allowing the water to percolate into the bottom of the chamber. Hydration chambers were kept full during this period.

On Day 4, the water was removed from the hydration chambers and the test chambers allowed to drain.

Starting on Day 5, test soils were supplemented with nutrients by the use of half strength Hoagland's solution delivered via subirrigation. Hydration chambers were kept filled for 24 hours, then empty for 24 hours.

## TEST TERMINATION

Tests were terminated 14 days post germination (33 days after planting). The number of seedlings, shoot appearance and height (tallest shoot of each plant), and root appearance and length (longest recovered root of each plant) was recorded.

For each test chamber, all of the above ground biomass (i.e. shoots) from all germinated plants were combined and placed into tared aluminum tins. The shoots were weighed to determine the wet weight immediately following removal from the test chamber. The shoots were then dried in an oven at 60 °C for a minimum of 24 hours. The shoots were then placed into a desiccator for a minimum of 2 hours and weighed to determine dry weight.

The wet and dry weight for the roots were obtained following the same procedure as described above.

## DATA ANALYSIS

For each test chamber, the following endpoints were calculated:

- **14 Day Post-Germination Survival (%)**  
(Calculated as the number of seedlings alive at 14 day post germination divided by 5)
- **Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Wet)**  
(Calculated as the total wet weight of the shoots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Dry)**  
(Calculated as the total dry weight of the shoots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Root Mass (Wet)**  
(Calculated as the total wet weight of the roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Root Mass (Dry)**  
(Calculated as the total dry weight of the roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Total Mass (Wet)**  
(Calculated as the total combined wet weights of the shoots and roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Total Mass (Dry)**  
(Calculated as the total combined dry weights of the shoots and roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Shoot Height**  
(Calculated as the total combined height of the tallest shoot of each seedling divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Root Length**  
(Calculated as the total combined length of the longest root of each seedling divided by the number of seedlings harvested)

Statistical analysis for each endpoint listed comprised of entering the data obtained from each replicate chamber of a test soil and comparing the results to the data from the replicate chambers of the laboratory control. Comparisons were made as a single tailed t-test, evaluating for statistically significant reductions from the control value, using CETIS version 1.1.2. The Equal Variance t Two-Sample test was used. When the assumptions of equality

of variance or normality necessary for Equal Variance t Two-Sample test was not met, the Unequal Variance t Two-Sample test or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two Sample test was used.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The endpoint data and the results statistical analysis are summarized in Table 2 below. The data represents the average value of the replicate chambers used in each test concentration.

The results for sample J10DW4A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average root mass (dry), average total mass (shoots + roots, wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots, dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10DV4A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average root mass (dry), average total mass (shoots + roots, wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots, dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10DT8A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length, and average root mass (wet) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10LJ5A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average total mass (shoots + roots, wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots, dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JB8 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height and average root length when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JB7 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), and average above ground shoot mass (dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JH5 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JH8 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length when compared to the laboratory control.


The results for sample J10JH4 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height when compared to the laboratory control.

Table E: Biological Effects Test Results for Washington Closure Materials  
as measured by use of Rapid Vaseline I Time-Delay Test. %, statistically significant difference from the control by use of Rapid Vaseline I Time-Delay Test. ns, statistically significant difference from the control by use of Vaseline Test over Time-Delay Test.

Lot No.	Sample Number	Average Biological activity in control (%)	Significantly different from control in control (%)										
Test results for Lot #99													
BG1542-01A	J10DW4A	64	-	76.9	-	91.2	-	29.8	-	4.98	-	36.9	-
BG1542-02A	J10DV4A	68	ns	58.5	E*	49.2	E*	15.1	E*	2.71	E*	10.8	E*
BG1542-03A	J10DT8A	60	ns	44.8	E*	20.3	E*	8.8	W*	1.88	E*	4.9	E*
BG1542-03A	J10DT8A	100	ns	69.3	ns	58.8	E*	22.8	ns	3.58	ns	21.7	E*
BG1542-03A	J10J5A	96	ns	51.5	E*	53.8	E*	19.9	E*	2.91	E*	23.0	E*
BG1568-01	J11JB8	92	ns	56.8	E*	54.9	E*	27.8	ns	3.67	ns	33.7	E*
BG1568-02	J11JB7	100	ns	63.9	E*	60.8	E*	18.6	E*	3.07	E*	26.8	ns
BG1568-03	J11JH5	64	ns	63.0	ns	57.3	E*	23.8	ns	4.26	ns	32.5	ns
BG1568-04	J11JH8	100	ns	64.9	ns	58.7	E*	24.9	ns	3.65	ns	30.9	ns
BG1568-05	J11JH4	92	ns	64.7	E*	72.7	ns	23.1	ns	3.77	ns	31.7	ns

## CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the Statement of Work, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael J. Stanaway". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending from the right side.

**APPENDIX A**  
**RAW DATA SHEETS**

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Date 0 10/0 Day 12 2m Day 15 NJ Day 18 TP Day 19 NJ Day 21 NJ Day 23 2m Day 26 Bm Day 27 8/10

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

Sample ID: Lab Control (70% 70 grade silica sand, 20% clay, 10% peat)

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated						14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (1.5 days after planting)	pH
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting		
Control	A	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	<u>6.5</u>
	B	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	
	C	5	7	7	8	8	8	8-15	
	D	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
	E	4	6	6	6	6	5	5	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 Lg G rounded: 1 sm brown/dead  
 Replicate B 5 Lg G  
 Replicate C 5 Lg G rounded: 1 Lg G 2 med G  
 Replicate D 3 Lg G  
 Replicate E 5 Lg G rounded: 1 sm brown/dead

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, L Lg = 0 of large plants (adult, 4+ shoots), M Med = 0 of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), S Sm = 0 small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 Lg G  
 Replicate B 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B top  
 Replicate C 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B short  
 Replicate D 2 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 2 0 shoots + 4 G shoots  
 Replicate E 2 Lg G, 2 M G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B short

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	54 mm	51 mm	78 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	74 mm	75 mm	76 mm	89 mm	103 mm
Replicate C	64 mm	70 mm	76 mm	83 mm	86 mm
Replicate D	91 mm	83 mm	78 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	65 mm	60 mm	90 mm	73 mm	97 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tot Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	2999.34	1062.5	1030.17
Replicate B	1022.07	1207.0	1053.13
Replicate C	991.36	1150.1	1017.66
Replicate D	971.26	1578.6	990.05
Replicate E	1011.00	1165.2	1036.85

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate B \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate C \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate D \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate E \_\_\_\_\_

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	26 mm	40 mm	22 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	121 mm	133 mm	153 mm	107 mm	93 mm
Replicate C	122 mm	88 mm	104 mm	63 mm	122 mm
Replicate D	90 mm	101 mm	69 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	132 mm	100 mm	122 mm	70 mm	87 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tot Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	2999.34	1060.4	1022.39
Replicate B	1027.33	1253.6	1036.97
Replicate C	1026.09	1207.8	1033.73
Replicate D	1039.03	1180.0	1045.22
Replicate E	993.16	1210.6	1007.76

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Harford Project

Water

Day 0

Day 12

3m Day 15 NT

Day 18 TD

Day 19 NT

Day 21 NT

Day 23

Day 26 3m

Day 33 3m

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

		Biosafety Lab ID: BF 801542-0 (Sample No: J10 DW4)									
CONC.	REPLICATE	5 seeds germinated						7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (15 days after planting)	pH	
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting			INITIAL (0 plants)	FINAL (14 days Post- Emergence)
Control	A	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	7.4	7.9
	B	1	2	5	7	7	7	7-5	5		
	C	2	2	3	4	4	4	4	4		
	D	1	2	4	4	5	5	5	5		
	E	2	5	6	6	7	7	7-5	5		
	F										

7-Days Post-Emergence: Describes thin down to 5 seedlings from the 8 initial seedlings. Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 Lg G, 1 med G, 1 Sm G

Replicate B: 1 Lg G, 4 med G

Replicate C: 3 med G, 1 Sm G

Replicate D: 1 Lg G, 2 med G, 2 Sm G

Replicate E: 1 Lg G, 4 med G

removed: 1 med w/burnt tip, 1 Sm G

removed: 2 Sm G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown. Brown (B) = brown color noted. Lg G = # of large plants (bulky, 6+ shoots). Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots). Sm G = # small plants (1-3 shoots).

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shoot, 1 med G, 1 Sm G

Replicate B: 2 Lg G, 3 med G

Replicate C: 2 med G, 1 med G w/ 1 B tip, 1 Sm w/ 1 B shoot &amp; 1 G shoot

Replicate D: 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shoot, 2 med G, 2 Sm G

Replicate E: 1 Lg G, 3 med G, 1 med G w/ 1 B tip

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling

(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	94 mm	31 mm	11 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	66 mm	52 mm	62 mm	51 mm	57 mm
Replicate C	71 mm	63 mm	60 mm	24 mm	mm
Replicate D	71 mm	37 mm	50 mm	50 mm	55 mm
Replicate E	92 mm	64 mm	46 mm	63 mm	60 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings

(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1005.22	1052.2	1013.32
Replicate B	977.34	1061.3	990.27
Replicate C	1043.72	1087.0	1052.91
Replicate D	1001.52	1052.52	1011.76
Replicate E	1021.25	1131.5	1040.89

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A:

Replicate B:

Replicate C:

Replicate D:

Replicate E:

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root

from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	13 mm	48 mm	38 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	66 mm	52 mm	38 mm	44 mm	66 mm
Replicate C	10 mm	33 mm	38 mm	51 mm	mm
Replicate D	79 mm	41 mm	73 mm	16 mm	30 mm
Replicate E	65 mm	45 mm	41 mm	87 mm	66 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1033.44	1039.3	1036.39
Replicate B	1002.23	1020.3	1007.17
Replicate C	1024.73	1055.498	1054.8
Replicate D	990.31	1038.2	994.08
Replicate E	1025.14	1039.2	1032.21

Comments:

15  
-13-

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M HILL		
Test No:	08-9842-7406	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments: recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006						
Sample No:	18-1426-8054	Code:	B1542-01	Client:		
Sample Date:	31 Oct-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	156d 0h	Station:				
Comments: J10DW4, E274801						
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
12-6240-8747	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	27.99%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
07-3590-2024	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	13.17%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-5063-9965	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.87%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-5263-2240	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.51%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-1671-4027	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.89%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
16-5188-4194	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg < 100	100	N/A	34.20%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
10-0024-4642	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) < 100	100	N/A	33.87%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
09-5177-1719	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg < 100	100	N/A	30.61%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
09-0040-8336	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) < 100	100	N/A	31.34%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
Average Height (mm) Summary,								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	58.52	45.3	65	3.5874	8.0217	14.19%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	49.16	34.3	60.8	4.3468	9.7197	19.77%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	15.126	10.206	22.05	2.1690	4.8501	32.07%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.98040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	2.70991	2.03800	3.92800	0.32563	0.72814	26.87%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	38.878	13.317	46.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	10.815	2.812	18.633	2.8356	6.3408	58.63%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64867	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	0.94703	0.66400	1.41399	0.13741	0.30727	32.46%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	25.941	18.338	34.293	3.2461	7.2584	27.98%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	3.65694	2.70200	5.34199	0.45998	1.02854	28.13%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		0.60000	1.00000	0.80000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		45.3	63.6	54.5	54.2000	65
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		49.3	53.6	34.3	47.8	60.8
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	38.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		15.68	16.8920	10.8200	10.206	22.05
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.70001	2.58600	2.29762	2.03800	3.92800
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	38.3420	46.09	42.488
100		18.6333	15.6140	7.51752	9.49799	2.81199
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		0.99666	0.98800	0.67252	0.66400	1.41399
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		34.2933	32.5080	18.3375	19.704	24.882
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.76801	8.32668	7.09000
100		3.69666	3.57400	2.97003	2.70200	5.34199

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1.	N/A	27.99%			
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sed	100	28.5		0.5000	3	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0048873	0.004887	1	0.09	0.76896	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.4233652	0.052921	8								
Total	0.42825247	0.0578079	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variance	Variance Ratio F	1.48560	23.15450	0.71084	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.76085		0.00484	Non-normal Distribution						
Data Summary											
Conc-%			Original Data				Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.30000	2.00000			
100		5	0.68000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	5.70000	2.00000			
							7.50000	7.50000			
							3.01247	2.56418			
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	AR H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	3.5894	1.85955	0.0035	9.97796	Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	927.3691	927.3691	1	12.88	0.00709	Significant Effect						
Error	575.836	71.9795	8									
Total	1503.20508	999.34858	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.23723	23.15450	0.84154	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90440		0.24472	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/Sedl	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226						
100		5	56.52	45.3	65	8.0217						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:15 AM  
 Analysis: 17-5063-9965/B154201peC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 9:15 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance   Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soln/Sedi 100	3.44744	1.85955	0.0044	22.6872	Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	4422.609	4422.609	1	11.88	0.00873	Significant Effect			
Error	2978.98	372.1225	8						
Total	7399.58887	4794.7314	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	6.87788	23.18450	0.06850	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91185		0.29394	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soln/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	49.16	34.3	60.8	9.7197			
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail



Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	A/H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sed 100	2.08135	1.85955	0.0068	9.03149	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	524.166	524.166	1	8.89	0.01756	Significant Effect			
Error	471.7735	58.97166	8						
Total	995.939484	583.1377	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.01387	23.15450	0.20694	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85862		0.07350	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717			
100		5	15.126	10.206	22.05	4.8501			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5							
100		5							
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG WI (Dry, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	30.69%				
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	2.73162	1.85955	0.0129	1.53203	Significant Effect							
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	12.66181	12.66181	1	7.46	0.02578	Significant Effect						
Error	13.57522	1.696902	8									
Total	26.2370281	14.358715	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.40119	23.15450	0.13118	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88077		0.07792	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	1.69222						
100		5	2.70991	2.03800	3.92800	0.72814						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 6 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:14 AM  
 Analysis: 16-5188-4194/B154201psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root WT. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A		PMSD		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.84256	1.85955	0.0025	12.6129	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1698.222	1698.222	1	14.77	0.00493	Significant Effect			
Error	920.1185	115.0148	8						
Total	2618.34033	1813.2366	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.72166	23.15450	0.18192	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88147		0.07941	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data			Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
100		5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778	10.815		
			2.612	15.633	6.3408				
Graphics									
									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	33.67%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	2.28867	1.65955	0.0257	0.54451	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1.122802	1.122802	1	5.24	0.05137	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1.714854	0.214357	8						
Total	2.83765602	1.3371589	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.54078	23.15450	0.24827	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89450		0.19044	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57818			
100		5	0.94703	0.56400	1.41399	0.30727			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:14 AM  
 Analysis: 09-5177-1719/B154201psc

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	A/H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	30.61%				
Group Comparisons										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.70456	1.85055	0.0030	20.3510	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	4109.343	4109.343	1	13.72	0.00600	Significant Effect				
Error	2395.457	299.4322	8							
Total	6504.80005	4406.7749	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	10.36098	23.15450	0.04371	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.82350		0.02793	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	26.15	82.77	23.370				
100		5	25.941	18.338	34.293	7.2584				
Graphics										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:14 AM  
 Analysis: 09-0040-8338/B154201psc

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD	
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	31.34%	
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sed	100	2.63486	1.85855	0.0150	2.06126	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	21.32578	21.32578	1	6.94	0.02995	Significant Effect			
Error	24.57421	3.071776	8						
Total	45.8999682	24.397555	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.80730	23.15450	0.15749	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86949		0.09860	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type		Count	Original Data		Transformed Data		
0		Artificial Soil/S		5	Mean	6.57761	Mean	6.57761	
100				5	Minimum	2.70335	Minimum	2.70200	
					Maximum	8.32666	Maximum	5.34199	
					SD	2.25514	SD	1.02854	
Graphics									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Harford Project

Initial Date: 1/20Day 0 1/20 Day 12 JanDay 15 NJDay 19 1/21Day 19 NitDay 21 NJDay 23 1/23Day 26 JanDay 23 Jan/NJTest Start Date: 4-5-06Bioassay Lab ID: DT BEIS V2-07A Sample No: J 10 DV 4

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds 3-9 days after planting	# seeds germinated						pH
			12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	
Control	A	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7.8
	B	0	2	2	3	3	3	3	
	C	0	2	2	3	3	3	2	
	D	2	3	3	4	4	4	3	
	E	5	5	5	7	7	7+5	5	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively tick down to 8 headings (leave the 9 bottom uncheck). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 Lg Grn brwn tip w/ 1 short, 1 mtd GReplicate B: 2 mtd, 1 Sma GReplicate C: 2 mtd GReplicate D: 3 mtd GReplicate E: 2 Lg G, 3 mtd G

removed: 1 sm brwn/clear

removed: 1 mtd G, 3 sm G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg = # of large plants (tallest, &gt; 10cm), Mtd = # of plants (medium than large, fewer than 10cm), Sm = # small plants (&lt; 5cm)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 lg, 3 G shoots, 1 Grn, 1 dark Green, 1 Sm 2 G shoots, 1 BrownReplicate B: 1 Lg, 2 Mtd - thin 1 short & large brown, otherwise all GReplicate C: 1 Lg, 1 mtd - each w/ 3 G + 1 B shortReplicate D: 1 Mtd G, 1 Mtd w/ 3 G + 1 B, 1 Sm w/ 1 G + 1 BReplicate E: 3 Lg, 2 mtd, each w/ multiple G shoots and 1 B short.

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling

(above ground)

(flat shoot only)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	62 mm	29 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	96 mm	39 mm	29 mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	54 mm	35 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	46 mm	31 mm	19 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	59 mm	37 mm	39 mm	64 mm	32 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings

(above ground)

	Tin Total Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1025.30	1045.2	1030.35
Replicate B	999.34	1025.6	1003.49
Replicate C	997.97	1016.2	1002.65
Replicate D	1004.87	1029.1024.8	1008.49
Replicate E	987.72	1034.8	996.47

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A: thin, white, stringy

Replicate B: \_\_\_\_\_

Replicate C: \_\_\_\_\_

Replicate D: \_\_\_\_\_

Replicate E: \_\_\_\_\_

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root

from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	13 mm	54 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	50 mm	21 mm	10 mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	29 mm	116 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	52 mm	36 mm	9 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	46 mm	24 mm	21 mm	43 mm	21 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tin Total Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1015.02	1035.3	1017.11
Replicate B	1010.57	1022.4	1014.58
Replicate C	985.78	1018.7	988.01
Replicate D	989.63	1002.7	991.36
Replicate E	998.35	1045.9	1003.07

Comments:

17  
26-

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:06 AM  
 Test Link: 04-6170-5301/B154202psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M HILL
Test No:	05-6800-9219	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	07-3307-9513	Code:	B1542-02	Client:		
Sample Date:	08 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	148d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DV4, J10DV5, J10DV6, J10DV7, J10DV8, E280101					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
18-9781-0133	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	32.24%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-6520-4003	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	13.24%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-7495-4983	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	23.50%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
06-2244-4005	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	27.53%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
16-5673-2573	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	29.97%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-2953-5215	Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.13%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-7385-8785	Average Root WL (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.00%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
12-4848-8881	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.15%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-5421-2953	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	29.82%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.60000	0.40000	1.00000	0.10954	0.24495	40.82%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	44.580	32	54.700	3.6342	8.1263	18.23%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	29.26	22.5	33.5	2.0131	4.5014	15.38%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	8.7955	6.6434	9.95	0.5739	1.2633	14.59%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	1.86100	1.20667	2.52496	0.25758	0.57593	30.95%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	38.878	13.317	46.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	8.8630	3.9433	16.46	2.2808	5.1000	57.54%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	0.98448	0.57666	1.33667	0.12454	0.27849	28.29%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	17.659	11.000	25.575	2.6359	5.894	33.38%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	2.84546	1.78333	3.47495	0.30938	0.69180	24.31%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-08 9:06 AM  
 Test Link: 04-8170-5301/B154202psC



% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		0.40000	0.60000	0.40000	0.60000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		45.5	54.7000	44.5	32	46.2000
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	88.7	102.2
100		33.5	27	22.5	32.3	31
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	38.8280	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		9.94995	8.75332	9.11502	6.64335	9.51602
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.52496	1.38332	2.34003	1.20687	1.85000
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	38.3420	46.99	42.488
100		10.0450	3.94334	16.48	4.35687	9.51001
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		0.94998	1.33687	1.11499	0.57866	0.94401
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	28.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		19.995	12.6968	25.5750	11.0000	19.0260
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		3.47495	2.71999	3.45502	1.78333	2.79401

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:27 AM  
 Analysis: 18-9781-9133/B154202peC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
% Germination	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	AH	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.80156	1.85955	0.0740	0.30679	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.1745378	0.174538	1	2.57	0.14792	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	0.5443857	0.068046	8							
Total	0.71890347	0.2425835	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.15127	23.15450	0.89470	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89943		0.21598	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Original Data										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88808	1.34528	0.25152
100		5	0.60000	0.40000	1.00000	0.24495	0.89738	0.68472	1.34528	0.26987
<b>Graphics</b>										
										
										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM		CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	13.24%					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	5.78077	1.85955	0.0002	10.0364	Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	2433.6	2433.6	1	33.42	0.00041	Significant Effect						
Error	582.598	72.8245	8									
Total	3016.19611	2506.4246	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.20557	23.15450	0.86060	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87204		0.10558	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226						
100		5	44.580	32	54.7	8.1263						
Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226						
100		5	44.580	32	54.7	8.1263						
Graphics												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 9:06 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	23.60%				
Group Comparisons										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	5.35239	1.85955	0.0003	21.5264					
Significant Effect										
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	9597.604	9597.604	1	28.65	0.00068	Significant Effect				
Error	2680.14	335.0175	8							
Total	12277.7437	9932.6210	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	32.06692	23.15450	0.00538	Unequal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86549		0.08853	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Original Data			Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	26.491				
100		5	29.26	22.5	33.5	4.5014				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		<100	100		N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	15		0.0040	0	Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1082.633	1082.633	1	22.54	0.00145	Significant Effect			
Error	384.2673	48.03341	8						
Total	1466.90033	1130.6665	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	57.33263	23.15450	0.00174	Unequal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.76115		0.00488	Non-normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.805	12.833	38.826	9.717	8.00000		
100		5	8.7955	6.6434	9.95	1.2833	3.00000		
							10.0000		
							1.58114		
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HIU				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	29.97%				
Group Comparisons											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.8771	1.85955	0.0023	1.48655	Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	24.01578	24.01578	1	15.03	0.00469	Significant Effect					
Error	12.78125	1.597656	8								
Total	36.7970295	25.613437	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.63338	23.15450	0.06018	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85010		0.05825	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222					
100		5	1.86100	1.20667	2.52496	0.57593					
Graphics								Transformed Data			

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 6 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 8:27 AM  
 Analysis: 03-2953-5215/B154202psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill	
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-08 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A 33.13%	
Group Comparisons								
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)	
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	4.26398	1.85955	0.0014	12.2176	Significant Effect	
ANOVA Table								
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)	
Between	1962.119		1962.119	1	18.18	0.00275	Significant Effect	
Error	863.3456		107.9182	8				
Total	2825.4646		2070.0372	9				
ANOVA Assumptions								
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)		
Variances	Variance Ratio F		7.29620	23.15450	0.08013	Equal Variances		
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.84834		0.05551	Normal Distribution		
Data Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data	Transformed Data
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778		
100		5	8.8630	3.9433	16.46	5.1000		
Graphics								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root WL (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	33.00%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.20464	1.85955	0.0293	0.5337	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1.000891	1.000891	1	4.86	0.05857	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1.647414	0.205927	8						
Total	2.64830458	1.2088177	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.31054	23.15450	0.18604	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87013		0.10032	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.81720	0.64867	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	0.98446	0.57686	1.33687	0.27849			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-6301	04-8170-6301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	30.15%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl 100	4.52971	1.85855	0.0010	20.0438	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	5959.718	5959.718	1	20.52	0.00193	Significant Effect			
Error	2323.875	290.4594	8						
Total	8283.39282	6250.1772	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	15.72240	23.15450	0.02080	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.80564		0.01698	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data	Transformed Data	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370			
100		5	17.659	11.000	25.575	5.894			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:27 AM  
 Analysis: 13-5421-2953/B154202psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	3.53787	1.85955	0.0038	1.96167	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	34.82234	34.82234	1	12.52	0.00764	Significant Effect			
Error	22.25693	2.782117	8						
Total	57.0792713	37.604455	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	10.62648	23.15450	0.04184	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.82768		0.03137	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
100			5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514		
				2.84546	1.78333	3.47495	0.69180		
Graphics									



## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 11:05 AM  
 Test Link: 11-4025-3012/B154203pac

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	16-8138-6754	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	15-5457-5144	Code:	B1542-03	Client:		
Sample Date:	14 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	142d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DT6, E283101					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
09-3899-3413	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	20.96%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
16-7824-0721	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	14.80%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-6766-6021	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	25.33%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
01-0398-0874	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.26%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-5218-6543	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.38%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-6762-5312	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	36.01%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-3047-5360	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	39.40%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-8521-7694	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.37%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-3124-9971	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.95%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

**CETIS Test Summary****% Germination Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%

**Average Height (mm) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	69.320	58.200	77.6	4.5213	10.11	14.58%

**Average Length (mm) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	66.780	43.8	72.400	4.9457	11.059	19.48%

**Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	22.778	12.718	30.848	3.5531	7.9451	34.88%

**Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.57920	2.00800	4.85601	0.56377	1.26063	35.22%

**Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	48.99	8.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	21.691	11.816	31.752	3.6085	8.0711	37.21%

**Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64657	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.36240	0.81600	2.09200	0.22487	0.50283	36.91%

**Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary**

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.464	28.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	44.469	24.534	62.600	7.1169	15.914	35.79%

**Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary**



Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	4.94160	2.82400	6.94801	0.77480	1.73251	35.06%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 11:05 AM  
 Test Link: 11-4025-3012/B154203psC

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		76.4000	77.6	60.6	75.8000	56.2000
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	88.7	102.2
100		56.8	61.5	49.4000	72.4000	43.8
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		29.2260	24.71	16.386	30.848	12.718
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	8.05200	5.26000	8.26333	5.17000
100		4.48201	4.05799	2.48201	4.85601	2.00800
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3187	45.2540	38.3420	46.99	42.488
100		25.2300	24.432	15.2260	31.7520	11.8160
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.38401	1.54200	0.97799	2.09200	0.81600
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0800	82.77	73.328
100		54.4560	49.142	31.612	62.6000	24.5340
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32666	7.09000
100		5.88602	5.61000	3.46000	6.94801	2.82400



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-1.633	1.85955	0.8294	0.20917	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.084348	0.084348	1	2.67	0.14111	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.2530439	0.031630	8								
Total	0.33739194	0.1159785	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Modified Levene	4.80000	11.25862	0.05984	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81415		0.02153	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88608	1.34528	0.25152	
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	1.34528	1.34528	1.34528	0.00020	
Graphics								Transformed Data			
											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL	
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	
Group Comparisons								
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.07125	1.85955	0.1577	11.2137	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	104.329	104.329	1	1.15	0.31531	Non-Significant Effect		
Error	727.298	90.912	8					
Total	831.626015	103.902	9					
ANOVA Assumptions								
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)			
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.28388	23.15450	0.81452	Equal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84519		0.05090	Normal Distribution			
Data Summary								
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean
100		5	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226	69.320
					56.2	77.6	10.110	
Graphics								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 11:05 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	25.33%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	2.77152	1.65955	0.0121	23.1074	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	2965.284	2965.284	1	7.68	0.02424	Significant Effect			
Error	3088.296	386.037	8						
Total	6053.58008	3351.321	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.31285	23.15450	0.13466	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93755		0.52613	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	56.780	43.8	72.4	11.059			
Graphics									
									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	35.26%		
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.21638	1.85955	0.1283	10.4361	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	116.5494	116.5494	1	1.48	0.25851	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	630.1755	78.77194	8							
Total	746.724945	98.32135	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.49579	23.15450	0.70595	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86182		0.08017	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717				
100		5	22.778	12.718	30.848	7.9451				
Graphics										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 5 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:32 AM  
 Analysis: 09-5216-6543/B154203peC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.46381	1.85955	0.0907	1.75485	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	4.769275	4.769275	1	2.14	0.18145	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	17.81121	2.226401	8							
Total	22.5804858	6.9956765	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.80195	23.15450	0.58241	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85931		0.07488	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
			Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	1.69222				
100		5	3.57920	2.00800	4.85601	1.26063				
Graphics										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	36.01%			
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.12672	1.85955	0.0331	13.2790	Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	576.6071	576.6071	1	4.52	0.06813	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	1019.879	127.4848	8								
Total	1596.48572	704.09194	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.91398	23.15450	0.32495	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87337		0.10940	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	38.878	13.317	46.99	13.778					
100		5	21.691	11.816	31.752	8.0711					
Graphics											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.74355	1.85955	0.2392	0.63723	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.1623032	0.162303	1	0.55	0.47842	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	2.348564	0.293571	8						
Total	2.51086763	0.4558738	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	1.32217	23.15450	0.79323	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96492		0.84022	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data			Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.36240	0.81600	2.00200	0.50283			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:32 AM  
 Analysis: 17-0521-7694/B154203psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>								
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.74104	1.85955	0.0599	23.5133	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	1211.628	1211.628	1	3.03	0.11986	Non-Significant Effect		
Error	3197.737	399.7171	8					
Total	4409.36499	1611.3455	9					
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>								
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)			
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.15665	23.15450	0.47497	Equal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86762		0.09378	Normal Distribution			
<b>Data Summary</b>								
<b>Original Data</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370		
100		5	44.469	24.534	62.600	15.914		
<b>Transformed Data</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370		
100		5	44.469	24.534	62.600	15.914		
<b>Graphics</b>								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:32 AM  
 Analysis: 09-3124-9971/B154203psc

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>								
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.86638	1.85955	0.1171	2.36495	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	6.691254	6.691254	1	1.65	0.23429	Non-Significant Effect		
Error	32.34898	4.043621	8					
Total	39.0402188	10.734875	9					
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>								
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)			
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.69432	23.15450	0.62201	Equal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88744		0.15864	Normal Distribution			
<b>Data Summary</b>								
Original Data								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514		
100		5	4.94160	2.82400	6.94801	1.73251		
Transformed Data								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514		
100		5	4.94160	2.82400	6.94801	1.73251		
<b>Graphics</b>								

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Cross Harb Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

Day 0 (P) Day 12 Bon day 15 NJ Day 16 (P) Day 18 NJ Day 21 NJ Day 23 (P) day 26 NJ Day 33 Bon/NJ

Bioassy Lab ID: p# B1542-07 Sample No: 510635

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated								pH	
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	4-11 14 days after planting	10 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (24 hrs after planting)	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (33 days after planting)	INITIAL (at 14 days Post- Emergence)	FINAL (at 14 days Post- Emergence)
Control	A	4	4	5	6	6	6	6-5	5	6.3	7.5
	B	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5		
	C	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5		
	D	6	3	6	6	7	9	7-5	5		
	E	4	4	4	5	6	4	4	4		

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively tick down to 5 Seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: gape 6 Lg → 5 LgReplicate B: 3 Lg + 2 smReplicate C: 4 Lg + 3 broadleaf plants - broadleaf removedReplicate D: 6 Lg, 1 sm → 5 LgReplicate E: 3 Lg + 1 mab, 3 seedling & another species removed. (Broadleaf) → removed

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg = # of large plants (tall, 6+ shoots), Mab = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 Lg G, 2 Mab G, 1 Mab w/ 1 B tip, 1 Mab G w/ 1 B shoot,Replicate B: 2 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 2 B tips, 2 Sm GReplicate C: 3 Lg G, 1 Mab G, 1 Sm GReplicate D: 2 Lg G, 3 Mab G, 1 Mab G - 1 B shoot, 1 Sm w/ 2 B, 1 G shootsReplicate E: 1 Lg G, 1 Mab G, 1 Mab G w/ 1 B tip, Sm G w/ 1 B tip

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	61 mm	49 mm	44 mm	54 mm	42 mm
Replicate B	65 mm	55 mm	55 mm	12 mm	11 mm
Replicate C	79 mm	74 mm	82 mm	46 mm	29 mm
Replicate D	57 mm	61 mm	50 mm	50 mm	27 mm
Replicate E	77 mm	47 mm	55 mm	40 mm	— mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1024.21	1094.4	1037.13
Replicate B	1043.93	1101.8	1055.52
Replicate C	1019.24	1145.4	1038.84
Replicate D	988.02	1051.1	1001.26
Replicate E	1001.92	1073.7	1014.31

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate B	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate C	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate D	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate E	—	—	—	—	—

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Rooting	2nd Rooting	3rd Rooting	4th Rooting	5th Rooting
Replicate A	53 mm	48 mm	47 mm	54 mm	55 mm
Replicate B	57 mm	57 mm	61 mm	9 mm	12 mm
Replicate C	69 mm	60 mm	30 mm	78 mm	75 mm
Replicate D	35 mm	51 mm	54 mm	79 mm	46 mm
Replicate E	65 mm	55 mm	54 mm	54 mm	— mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1011.97	1119.1	1018.86
Replicate B	998.58	1062.4	1003.81
Replicate C	981.26	1130.6	991.49
Replicate D	1005.89	1101.2	1012.80
Replicate E	1006.09	1101.5	1011.93

Comments:

Comments: 1/3

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Test No:	13-1444-4664	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006.					
Sample No:	15-5450-5055	Code:	B1542-08	Client:		
Sample Date:	28 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	128d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10LJ5, E289701					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
08-9339-6639	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	22.09%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-9747-9588	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	13.83%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-1673-3355	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.80%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-1079-1148	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.69%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
15-7306-8313	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.26%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-6069-9442	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	37.46%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
01-4665-3750	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.11%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-4711-9313	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	34.17%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-1572-4544	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	31.11%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.96000	0.80000	1.00000	0.04000	0.08944	9.32%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	51.48	39.6	64	3.9828	8.9057	17.30%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	53.600	39.200	62.400	4.2459	9.4942	17.71%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	16.921	13.174	25.232	2.2337	4.9947	29.52%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.98040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	2.91350	2.31799	3.92000	0.28112	0.62860	21.58%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	22.994	12.764	37.868	4.1506	9.281	40.36%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.46240	1.04600	2.04600	0.16241	0.36317	24.83%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	39.915	25.938	63.1	6.3258	14.145	35.44%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	4.37500	3.36399	5.96599	0.44024	0.98441	22.50%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:11 AM  
 Test Link: 07-8369-5277/B154208psC

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.80000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		50	39.6	64	49	54.8
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		51.4000	39.2000	62.4000	53	62
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		14.0380	13.174	25.2320	14.216	17.945
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.58401	2.31799	3.92000	2.64800	3.09750
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		21.4260	12.7640	37.868	19.062	23.8525
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.37800	1.04600	2.04600	1.38199	1.45999
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		35.4640	25.938	63.1	33.278	41.7975
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		3.96201	3.36399	5.96599	4.03000	4.55751

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		07-8369-6277	07-8369-6277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A		
PMSD 22.99%									
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	-1.1138	1.85955	0.8512	0.22714	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0462777	0.046278	1	1.24	0.29769	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.2984103	0.037301	8						
Total	0.344688	0.0835790	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.57779	23.15450	0.12462	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.82019		0.02548	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160		
100		5	0.96000	0.80000	1.00000	0.08944	1.29766		
				Minimum	Maximum	SD	Transformed Data		

Graphics

The left plot displays % Germination on the y-axis (ranging from 0.0 to 1.0) against Concentration (%) on the x-axis (ranging from 0 to 100). A horizontal dashed line at approximately 0.62 represents the control level. Two data points are shown: one at 0% concentration with a value slightly above 0.62, and another at 100% concentration with a value slightly below 0.62. A vertical line connects the two points. The text "Reject Null" is written near the bottom of the plot area.

The right plot displays Centered Contr. Angle on the y-axis (ranging from -0.30 to 0.30) against Ranks on the x-axis (ranging from -2.0 to 2.0). A diagonal line represents the identity line (y=x). Data points are plotted, showing a general upward trend as the rank increases, indicating a positive correlation.



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:38 AM  
 Analysis: 05-9747-9568/B154208psc

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:38 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	13.83%				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	4.31019	1.85055	0.0013	10.4838	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	1476.225		1476.225	1	18.58	0.00258	Significant Effect				
Error	635.898		79.46201	8							
Total	2111.92114		1555.6871	9							
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F		1.00378	23.15450	0.99717	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.95429		0.71928	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data				
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226					
100		5	51.48	39.6	64	8.9057					
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:11 AM  
 Analysis: 14-1673-3355/B154208psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 9:10 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	24.80%			
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.09253	1.85955	0.0074	22.6210	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	3538.161	3538.161	1	9.56	0.01483	Significant Effect			
Error	2959.848	369.956	8						
Total	6497.80908	3908.1169	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	7.20848	23.15450	0.08182	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89813		0.20895	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	53.600	38.2	62.4	9.4942			
Graphics									
									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	30.69%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.59606	1.85955	0.0158	9.08585	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	402.2389	402.2389	1	6.74	0.03181	Significant Effect			
Error	477.4698	59.68373	8						
Total	879.708771	461.92265	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.78474	23.15450	0.22556	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88392		0.14468	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	9.717			
100		5	16.921	13.174	25.232	4.9947			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	30.26%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.53545	1.85055	0.0175	1.50124	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	10.47451	10.47451	1	6.43	0.03496	Significant Effect			
Error	13.03506	1.629382	8						
Total	23.5095625	12.103888	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	7.24704	23.15450	0.08109	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84825		0.05537	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S.	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	1.69222			
100		5	2.91350	2.31799	3.92000	0.62860			
				Transformed Data					
				Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		

Graphics	

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	1.86680	1.85955	0.0493	13.8149	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	481.8888	481.8888	1	3.49	0.09859	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1103.85	137.9813	8						
Total	1585.73911	619.87006	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.20379	23.15450	0.48291	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94621		0.62394	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778	22.994	
					12.764	37.868	9.281		
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.50697	1.85955	0.3129	0.56781	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0599097	0.05991	1	0.26	0.62585	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1.864768	0.233096	8						
Total	1.92467780	0.2930057	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.53463	23.15450	0.38967	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92278		0.38072	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819	1.46240	
				1.04600	2.04600	0.38317			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	34.17%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.17471	1.85955	0.0307	22.7178	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	1764.66	1764.66	1	4.73	0.06137	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	2985.025	373.1281	8							
Total	4749.68494	2137.7879	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.72986	23.15450	0.35420	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92551		0.40519	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370				
100		5	39.915	25.938	63.1	14.145				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	31.11%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sed 100	2.00077	1.85955	0.0402	2.04630	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	12.11875	12.11875	1	4.00	0.08042	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	24.21888	3.02736	8						
Total	36.3376379	15.146115	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.24797	23.15450	0.13730	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86537		0.08825	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514			
100		5	4.37590	3.36399	5.96599	0.98441			
Transformed Data				Minimum	Maximum	SD			
Graphics									

Biocarey Lab ID: 207 B6 1546 - Observe No: J113B8									
CONC.	REPLICATE	EMERGENCE					7-DAY POST- EMERGENCE (15% above planning)	14-DAY POST- EMERGENCE (15% above planning)	P.D. (# of plants)
		12 days above planning	14 days above planning	16 days above planning	18 days above planning	21 days above planning			
Control	A	3	8	3	6	8	7	B-75	5
Control	B	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
Control	C	7	8	8	8	8	8	8-25	5
Control	D	6	6	6	6	6	6	6-25	5
N	E	7	7	6	6	5	5	5	5
						8		6.1	7.7

Replicate A	<u>Single</u>	reserves: 1 tsb G, 2 mbs G + 5 green leaves
Replicate B	<u>2 Leaf, 1 Sac G</u>	reserves: 1 green leaf, sunbleached seedlings
Replicate C	<u>Single</u>	reserves: 1 tsb w/brown tip, 2 mbs G, broken leaf
Replicate D	<u>3 Leaf, 1 sac G</u>	reserves: 1 tsb w/brown tip, 2 mbs G, broken leaf
Replicate E	<u>4 Leaf + 1 tsb w/G</u>	reserves: 1 brown tip, 2 mbs G, broken leaf

Answers: **Color** (G) = green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color visible, **size** = # of leaves (including those larger than leaves above), **tsb** = # small plants (1-2 leaves)

۱۳

16-2-18 short, 2 long, 1 mid and 18 short, 1 mid G  
2 long 1 short

W. G. B. also in 13 short arm

363 G, 163 G w/ 12 B keys, 163 G w/ 13 + p 1 18 sheet.

1st Setting	2nd Setting	3rd Setting	4th Setting	5th Setting
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Actual height of each bending	Approximate height	Approximate width	Approximate thickness
10 ft.	10 ft.	10 ft.	10 ft.
8 ft.	8 ft.	8 ft.	8 ft.
6 ft.	6 ft.	6 ft.	6 ft.
4 ft.	4 ft.	4 ft.	4 ft.
2 ft.	2 ft.	2 ft.	2 ft.
1 ft.	1 ft.	1 ft.	1 ft.

Age	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Preterm	81 min	53 min	77	145
Term	81 min	53 min	77	145
Total	81 min	53 min	77	145

Thick Wk (mm)	Wk Wt (g)	Dry Wt (g)
1.0	1.0	0.5
2.0	2.0	1.0
3.0	3.0	1.5
4.0	4.0	2.0

Rate of discharge	Population	997.57	1119.6	1016.55
2000-2001	Population	2000-2001	2000-2001	2000-2001

	Prepaid	Unearned	Accrued
Receivable	\$74,57	130,3	809,32
Receivable	101,850	146,7	103,9,29

<b>Probability</b>	<b>1000.91</b>	<b>11027.3</b>	<b>1017.30</b>
--------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

1007.30 1147.4 1026.08

Technological Advances

Replica B

Replicates C

D. R. Kuhns

Max Rock Length	1st Breaking	2nd Breaking	3rd Breaking	4th Breaking
1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Total length of the longest root = 25 mm

Brilliant people

ପାତ୍ରମାତ୍ରା କିମ୍ବା ପାତ୍ରମାତ୍ରା କିମ୍ବା

Registration No. 56 mm 57 mm 58 mm 60 mm 62 mm

Two Read Weights	Total Wt (mg)	Wt Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
603 / 8	1.724	1.721	1.725

Mean of all tests (mean of readings)	
Repetitive A	122.78
Repetitive B	102.3.01

negative	1009.45	1186.1	1020.67
----------	---------	--------	---------

September 12	1016.37	173.6	1024.45
September 13	1020.48	179.9	1028.05

三

## CETIS Test Summary

#### **Plant Bioassay - Chronic**

CH2M Hill

Test No:	09-4616-5996	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii
Ending Date:		DH Water:		Source:	
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:			
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006				
Sample No:	07-8112-4502	Code:	B1568-01	Client:	
Sample Date:	22 Mar-06	Material:	Soil	Project:	
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford		
Sample Age:	14d 0h	Station:			
Comments:	J11JB8				



## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	19.44%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	56.780	48	63.400	2.5303	5.6579	9.96%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	54.94	48.200	65.2	2.9441	6.5832	11.98%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.62%
100		5	27.831	20.878	40.21	3.3027	7.385	26.54%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.67426	3.27800	4.15801	0.15767	0.35255	9.60%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	33.714	15.53	47.044	5.2816	11.810	35.03%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.85146	1.53000	2.27400	0.16841	0.37657	20.34%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	28.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	61.545	51.844	71.45	3.6797	8.2281	13.37%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	5.52573	4.81399	6.40199	0.30399	0.67973	12.30%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		59	55.7000	63.4000	48	57.8
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		48.2000	50.3	65.2	56	55
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		24.408	40.21	25.84	20.8780	28.0200
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.28000	6.26333	5.17000
100		3.79600	3.38332	4.15801	3.27800	3.75599
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		47.044	15.53	35.33	30.768	39.9000
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		2.27400	1.67334	2.24399	1.53599	1.53000
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		71.45	55.74	60.97	51.644	67.92
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		6.06999	5.05664	6.40199	4.81399	5.28601



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
% Germination	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1.	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	30		0.6548	3	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	0.021087	0.021087	1	0.40	0.54474	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	0.4217399	0.052717	8									
Total	0.44282693	0.0738045	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.50000	23.15450	0.70400	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.75864		0.00455	Non-normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.73861		
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	6.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.23607		
Transformed Data												
												
												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	11.59%					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	4.02124	1.65955	0.0019	8.78620	Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	902.5	902.5	1	16.17	0.00383	Significant Effect						
Error	446.496	55.812	8									
Total	1348.99600	958.31200	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.48694	23.15450	0.39912	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90083		0.22372	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data			Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226						
100		5	56.780	48	63.4	5.6579						
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 9:13 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A		PMSD					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	3.08142	1.85955	0.0075	21.8939	Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	3290.596	3290.596	1	9.50	0.01508	Significant Effect						
Error	2772.44	346.555	8									
Total	6063.03589	3637.1509	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	14.99313	23.15450	0.02248	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.67809		0.12403	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data		Transformed Data										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491						
100		5	54.94	48.2	65.2	6.5832						
Graphics												
 <p>Average Length (mm) vs Conc-%</p> <p>The plot shows average plant length at two concentrations: 0% (mean ~91.2 mm) and 100% (mean ~54.9 mm). A vertical dashed line at 100% concentration indicates a significant reduction in length.</p>					 <p>Standardized Data vs Ranks</p> <p>The plot shows a strong positive linear relationship between standardized data points and their ranks, indicating good data distribution.</p>							

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:40 AM  
 Analysis: 03-8041-1052/B156601peA

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HiB		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	0.32514	1.85955	0.3767	10.1497	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	7.873582	7.873582	1	0.11	0.75342	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	595.8298	74.47873	8						
Total	603.703416	82.352311	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.73128	23.15450	0.60798	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96823		0.87396	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Transformed Data		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	38.828	9.717			
100		5	27.831	20.878	40.21	7.385			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail



Comparisons: Page 5 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:40 AM  
 Analysis: 02-2888-7017/B156601psA

CH2M Hill

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>								
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.66375	1.85955	0.0674	1.4375	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	4.135365	4.135365	1	2.77	0.13473	Non-Significant Effect		
Error	11.95168	1.493957	8					
Total	16.0870247	5.6293229	9					
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>								
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)			
Variances	Variance Ratio F	23.03905	23.15450	0.01009	Equal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.80368		0.01606	Normal Distribution			
<b>Data Summary</b>								
<b>Original Data</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222		
100		5	3.67426	3.27800	4.15801	0.35255		
<b>Transformed Data</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222		
100		5	3.67426	3.27800	4.15801	0.35255		
<b>Graphics</b>								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	0.38989	1.85955	0.3534	15.0911	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	25.0295	25.0295	1	0.15	0.70679	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1317.221	164.6527	8						
Total	1342.25081	169.68216	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.36097	23.15450	0.77245	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87175		0.10478	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778			
100		5	33.714	15.53	47.044	11.810			
				Transformed Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778			
100		5	33.714	15.53	47.044	11.810			
<b>Graphics</b>									
									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.7592	1.85955	0.7652	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.1372013	0.137201	1	0.58	0.46952	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	1.904404	0.238051	8							
Total	2.04160514	0.3752518	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.35752	23.15450	0.42657	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86708		0.09240	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819				
100		5	1.85146	1.53000	2.27400	0.37657				
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19-Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	30.99%			
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.44572	1.85955	0.3338	20.6046	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	60.97949	60.97949	1	0.20	0.66761	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	2455.527	306.9409	8								
Total	2516.50683	367.92041	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.06740	23.15450	0.06761	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83722		0.04087	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary											
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data			Transformed Data				
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
100		5	61.545	51.844	71.45	8.2281					
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL								
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-0232-9707	16-0232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2									
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	29.76%							
Group Comparisons															
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)									
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.99861	1.85955	0.1738	1.95875	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	2.766133	2.766133	1	1.00	0.34723	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	22.19074	2.773843	8												
Total	24.9568751	5.5399754	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	11.00706	23.15450	0.03931	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84038		0.04459	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	2.25514									
100		5	5.52573	4.81389	6.40199	0.67973									
Graphics															

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Harvard Project

Tool Start Date: 4-5-06

Initial  
 Day 0 (1) Day 12 (2) Day 15 (3) NT Day 18 (4) Day 19 (5) NT Day 21 (6) NT Day 23 (7) Day 25 (8) Day 33 (9) Fru

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated							pH		
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (24 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (32 days after planting)	INITIAL (0 planting)	FINAL (14 days Post-Emergence)
Control	A	6	7	7	7	7	7	7-5	5	6.2	7.6
	B	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5		
	C	2	4	4	7	6	9	9-5	5		
	D	8	8	9	10	11	11	10-5	5		
	E	6	6	(2)	6	6	4	6-5	5		

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 8 seedlings (leave the 3 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance: # 10 Bluegrass + 1 broadleaf

- Replicate A: 5 Lg G removed: 1 med G, 1 med w/brown, broadleaf  
 Replicate B: 5 Lg G removed: broadleaf  
 Replicate C: 2 Lg G, 2 small med G, 1 sm G removed: 1 sm G, broadleaf  
 Replicate D: 5 Lg G removed: 2 Lg G, 3 med G, broadleaf  
 Replicate E: 5 Lg G removed: 1 med G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, # Lg = # of large plants (tallest, 4+ shoots), # Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), # Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A: 3 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/1 B tip, 1 Lg G w/1 B shoot  
 Replicate B: 2 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/1 B tip each, 1 Mdg G w/1 B shoot  
 Replicate C: 2 md G, 1 mb G w/1 B shoot, 2 Sm G  
 Replicate D: 1 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/1 B tip each, 2 Lg G w/1 B shoot each  
 Replicate E:

Measure Shoot Height:

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	69 mm	52 mm	61 mm	80 mm	58 mm
Replicate B	67 mm	58 mm	73 mm	43 mm	43 mm
Replicate C	40 mm	49 mm	15 mm	31 mm	52 mm
Replicate D	70 mm	56 mm	77 mm	59 mm	55 mm
Replicate E	81 mm	43 mm	49 mm	62 mm	39 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

	Tin Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	981.78	1049.1	1001.49
Replicate B	1034.81	1115.6	1047.85
Replicate C	996.47	1110.0	1003.22
Replicate D	983.56	112.0	1008.62
Replicate E	988.94	1073.4	1006.12

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A
- Replicate B
- Replicate C
- Replicate D
- Replicate E

Measure Root Length:

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	54.41 mm	74 mm	63 mm	64 mm	77 mm
Replicate B	55 mm	66 mm	79 mm	37 mm	79 mm
Replicate C	60.43 mm	31 mm	52 mm	63 mm	47 mm
Replicate D	64 mm	67 mm	72 mm	59 mm	66 mm
Replicate E	54 mm	71 mm	73 mm	89 mm	35 mm

Measure Root Weight:

	Tin Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	985.82	1169.3	995.24
Replicate B	1034.96	1144.4	1040.44
Replicate C	1001.76	1055.7	1005.03
Replicate D	1026.16	1235.0	1034.75
Replicate E	1016.36	1173.0	1023.77

Comments:

MS  
78

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	09-7104-7132	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	06-7557-8523	Code:	B1566-02	Client:		
Sample Date:	27 Mar-06	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	9d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J11JB7					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
07-0787-0776	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	20.96%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
15-0357-2986	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	15.82%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
16-0101-1954	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.57%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-2251-3543	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	32.62%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-2274-8929	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.98%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
00-9088-8642	Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	41.70%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-9206-1899	Average Root WL (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	39.19%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
12-3314-0779	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	37.41%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-5363-6775	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	34.99%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary



Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:17 AM  
 Test Link: 15-6440-9896/B156602psB

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9228	11.77%
100		5	53.880	37.400	65	5.0623	11.32	21.01%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	60.600	45	65.6	3.9192	8.7836	14.46%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	4.3458	9.717	32.82%
100		5	18.574	8.6660	24.688	2.8451	6.3618	34.25%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.06959	1.35000	4.01200	0.49767	1.11283	36.25%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	38.878	13.317	46.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	28.494	10.788	41.768	5.5165	12.335	43.29%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.36680	0.65400	1.88400	0.22198	0.49637	36.32%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	47.067	19.454	66.458	8.3444	18.659	39.64%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	8.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	4.43639	2.00400	5.82599	0.71726	1.60385	36.15%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		65	48.8	37.4000	63.4000	54.8
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		64.8000	63.2000	45	65.6	64.4000
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		22.484	16.158	8.66602	24.688	20.8920
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	8.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		3.94199	2.60798	1.35000	4.01200	3.43600
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		36.6960	21.8880	10.788	41.768	31.3280
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.88400	1.09600	0.65400	1.71799	1.48201
Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		59.16	38.048	19.4540	66.456	52.22
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		5.82599	3.70398	2.00400	5.73000	4.91799

# CETIS Analysis Detail



Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
% Germination	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C'>T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		-1.633	1.85955	0.9294	0.20917	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.084348	0.084348	1	2.67	0.14111	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	0.2530439	0.031630	8							
Total	0.33739194	0.1159785	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Modified Levene	4.80000	11.25862	0.05984	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81415		0.02153	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88608	1.34528	0.25152
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	1.34528	1.34528	1.34528	0.00020
<b>Graphics</b>										
										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	15.82%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.39754	1.65955	0.0047	11.9863	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1199.025	1199.025	1	11.54	0.00939	Significant Effect			
Error	830.978	103.872	8						
Total	2030.00098	1302.6970	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.60946	23.15450	0.65604	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90207		0.23082	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	53.880	37.4	65	11.32			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	53.880	37.4	65	11.32			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:17 AM  
 Analysis: 16-0101-1954/B156602psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 9:17 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A			
Group Comparisons										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl 100	2.5401	1.85955	0.0174	22,4162	Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	2343.961	2343.961	1	6.45	0.03471	Significant Effect				
Error	2906.288	363.288	8							
Total	5250.24902	2707.2469	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.46057	23.15450	0.06231	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87858		0.12586	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary			Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491				
100		5	60.800	45	65.6	8.7636				
Graphics										
										
										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9898	15-6440-9898	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A 32.62%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.12393	1.85955	0.0332	9.65868	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	304.255	304.255	1	4.51	0.06642	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	539.5712	87.4464	8						
Total	843.826233	371.70141	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.33292	23.15450	0.43209	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83430		0.03769	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	9.717			
100		5	18.574	8.6660	24.688	6.3618			
				Transformed Data					
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-08 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	33.96%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.08754	1.85955	0.0351	1.68430	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	8.937865	8.937865	1	4.36	0.07029	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	16.40803	2.051004	8						
Total	25.3458948	10.988869	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.31238	23.15450	0.43679	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84488		0.05044	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222			
100		5	3.06959	1.35000	4.01200	1.11283			
Transformed Data				Minimum	Maximum	SD			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Root WT. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		1.01382	1.85955	0.1702	15.379	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	175.7511	175.7511	1	1.03	0.34035	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	1367.951	170.9339	8								
Total	1543.70190	346.74495	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.24753	23.15450	0.83548	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87920		0.12775	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data		Transformed Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778					
100		5	28.494	10.788	41.768	12.335					
Graphics											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1					
N/A											
39.19%											
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.73477	1.85955	0.2417	0.63371	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.1567499	0.15675	1	0.54	0.48346	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	2.322712	0.290339	8								
Total	2.47946206	0.4470889	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variance	Variance Ratio F	1.35685	23.15450	0.77462	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87393		0.11105	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary											
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data					
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
100			5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819				
				1.36680	0.65400	1.88400	0.49637				
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.4518	1.85055	0.0923	24.8697	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	942.4918	942.4918	1	2.11	0.18462	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	3577.302	447.1627	8						
Total	4519.79327	1389.6544	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.56883	23.15450	0.67328	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85602		0.06847	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data	Transformed Data	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370			
100		5	47.067	19.454	66.456	18.659			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:43 AM  
 Analysis: 07-5363-8775/B156802ps8

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9898	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.73017	1.65955	0.0609	2.30133	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	11.46197	11.46197	1	2.99	0.12185	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	30.6319	3.828987	8						
Total	42.0938644	15.290956	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.97707	23.15450	0.52538	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84141		0.04587	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514			
100		5	4.43639	2.00400	5.82599	1.60385			
<b>Graphics</b>									

CONC.	REPLICATE	EMERGENCE						PH
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	FINAL PLANTING	
Control	A	4	6	6	8	10	6	6.4
	B	4	5	7	9	12	7	5
	C	7	10	10	11	12	11-15	5
	D	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
	E	3	3	4	6	10	4	5
	F	8	8	8	8	8	8	5

10 days Post Emergence: Substantially same to 8 days emergence time but 1 plant missing. Decrease in plant emergence

5 days GR

6 days GR

7 days GR

8 days GR

9 days GR

10 days GR

11 days GR

12 days GR

13 days GR

14 days GR

15 days GR

16 days GR

17 days GR

18 days GR

19 days GR

20 days GR

21 days GR

22 days GR

23 days GR

24 days GR

25 days GR

26 days GR

27 days GR

28 days GR

29 days GR

30 days GR

31 days GR

32 days GR

33 days GR

34 days GR

35 days GR

36 days GR

37 days GR

38 days GR

39 days GR

40 days GR

41 days GR

42 days GR

43 days GR

44 days GR

45 days GR

46 days GR

47 days GR

48 days GR

49 days GR

50 days GR

51 days GR

52 days GR

53 days GR

54 days GR

55 days GR

56 days GR

57 days GR

58 days GR

59 days GR

60 days GR

61 days GR

62 days GR

63 days GR

64 days GR

65 days GR

66 days GR

67 days GR

68 days GR

69 days GR

70 days GR

71 days GR

72 days GR

73 days GR

74 days GR

75 days GR

76 days GR

77 days GR

78 days GR

79 days GR

80 days GR

81 days GR

82 days GR

83 days GR

84 days GR

85 days GR

86 days GR

87 days GR

88 days GR

89 days GR

90 days GR

91 days GR

92 days GR

93 days GR

94 days GR

95 days GR

96 days GR

97 days GR

98 days GR

99 days GR

100 days GR

101 days GR

102 days GR

103 days GR

104 days GR

105 days GR

106 days GR

107 days GR

108 days GR

109 days GR

110 days GR

111 days GR

112 days GR

113 days GR

114 days GR

115 days GR

116 days GR

117 days GR

118 days GR

119 days GR

120 days GR

121 days GR

122 days GR

123 days GR

124 days GR

125 days GR

126 days GR

127 days GR

128 days GR

129 days GR

130 days GR

131 days GR

132 days GR

133 days GR

134 days GR

135 days GR

136 days GR

137 days GR

138 days GR

139 days GR

140 days GR

141 days GR

142 days GR

143 days GR

144 days GR

145 days GR

146 days GR

147 days GR

148 days GR

149 days GR

150 days GR

151 days GR

152 days GR

153 days GR

154 days GR

155 days GR

156 days GR

157 days GR

158 days GR

159 days GR

160 days GR

161 days GR

162 days GR

163 days GR

164 days GR

165 days GR

166 days GR

167 days GR

168 days GR

169 days GR

170 days GR

171 days GR

172 days GR

173 days GR

174 days GR

175 days GR

176 days GR

177 days GR

178 days GR

179 days GR

180 days GR

181 days GR

182 days GR

183 days GR

184 days GR

185 days GR

186 days GR

187 days GR

188 days GR

189 days GR

190 days GR

191 days GR

192 days GR

192 days GR

193 days GR

195 days GR

196 days GR

197 days GR

199 days GR

200 days GR

201 days GR

202 days GR

203 days GR

204 days GR

205 days GR

206 days GR

207 days GR

208 days GR

209 days GR

210 days GR

211 days GR

212 days GR

213 days GR

214 days GR

215 days GR

216 days GR

217 days GR

218 days GR

219 days GR

220 days GR

221 days GR

222 days GR

223 days GR

224 days GR

225 days GR

226 days GR

227 days GR

228 days GR

229 days GR

229 days GR

230 days GR

231 days GR

232 days GR

233 days GR

234 days GR

235 days GR

236 days GR

237 days GR

238 days GR

239 days GR

240 days GR

241 days GR

242 days GR

243 days GR

244 days GR

245 days GR

246 days GR

247 days GR

248 days GR

249 days GR

250 days GR

251 days GR

252 days GR

253 days GR

254 days GR

255 days GR

256 days GR

257 days GR

258 days GR

259 days GR

256 days GR

257 days GR

258 days GR

259 days GR

256 days GR

257 days GR

258 days GR

259 days GR

256 days GR

257 days GR

258 days GR

259 days GR

256 days GR

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic					CH2M Hill	
Test No:	05-5352-4510	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	03-3130-8104	Code:	B1566-03	Client:		
Sample Date:	30 Mar-06	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	6d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J11JH5					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	
09-4240-7124	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	41.99%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
00-9654-0043	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	19.95%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-3823-1455	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	26.29%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-2295-5367	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	44.73%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-4570-8180	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	52.20%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-8115-4404	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	62.15%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
06-1666-2618	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	72.74%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-7998-0152	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	54.13%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-1279-4134	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.73%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.84000	0.20000	1.00000	0.16000	0.35777	42.58%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9228	11.77%
100		5	63.04	43	83	7.0853	15.843	25.13%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	57.800	41.400	73	6.0256	13.474	23.31%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	23.626	11.160	43.08	5.6418	12.615	53.39%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	4.24840	2.12800	8.51001	1.16886	2.81365	61.52%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1618	13.778	37.36%
100		5	32.477	11.544	70.600	10.675	23.871	73.50%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	2.03640	0.94800	3.45001	0.57735	1.29098	63.40%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	56.103	22.704	113.68	16.289	36.423	64.92%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.5776	2.7033	8.3287	1.0085	2.2551	34.29%
100		5	6.2848	3.0760	11.960	1.6937	3.7873	60.26%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.20000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		63	43	73.2	83	53
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		59	41.4000	68.4000	73	47.2000
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		20.1080	11.1600	28.4260	43.06	15.3760
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		3.28001	2.12800	4.90200	8.51001	2.44199
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		21.1280	11.544	40.554	70.6000	18.56
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.19199	0.94800	3.44401	3.45001	1.14800
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		41.2360	22.7040	68.98	113.66	33.936
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		4.45200	3.07600	8.34601	11.9600	3.58999

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-08 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	29		0.5794	3	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0001352	0.000135	1	0.00	0.97281	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.8748688	0.109359	8						
Total	0.87500388	0.1094938	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.45738	23.15450	0.40518	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.65938		0.00028	Non-normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	
100		5	0.84000	0.20000	1.00000	0.21909	5.20000	2.50000	
							7.00000	2.46475	
								2.68328	
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

**Comparisons:** Page 2 of 9  
**Report Date:** 19 Jul-08 8:47 AM  
**Analysis:** 00-9654-0043/B156603.psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19-Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
PMSD 19.95%												
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.56872	1.85955	0.0779	15.1212	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	405.7691	405.7691	1	2.45	0.15582	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	1322.48	165.31	8									
Total	1728.24905	571.07907	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.15289	23.15450	0.29205	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96966		0.88768	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9228						
100		5	63.04	43	83	15.843						
<b>Graphics</b>												
<p>Average Height (mm)</p> <p>Conc-%</p>				<p>Centered Untransformed</p> <p>Ranks</p>								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		10-4856-7485	10-4856-7485	19 Jul-06 9:21 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	26.29%		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	2.59185	1.85955	0.0160	23.9775	Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	2792.241	2792.241	1	6.72	0.03202	Significant Effect			
Error	3325.248	415.656	8						
Total	6117.48877	3207.8967	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	3.57822	23.15450	0.24448	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93777		0.52849	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	57.800	41.4	73	13.474			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:47 AM  
 Analysis: 03-2295-5367/B158603peC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.83967	1.65955	0.2127	13.2423	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	89.38481	89.38481	1	0.71	0.42548	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1014.24	126.78	8						
Total	1103.6245	216.16477	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	1.68545	23.15450	0.62545	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.97199		0.90865	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717			
100		5	23.628	11.180	43.06	12.615			
				<b>Transformed Data</b>					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717			
100		5	23.628	11.180	43.06	12.615			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance   Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.51132	1.65955	0.3115	2.58935	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	1.26735	1.26735	1	0.26	0.62294	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	38.7791	4.847388	8								
Total	40.0464520	6.1147375	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.38550	23.15450	0.42040	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94807		0.64572	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222					
100		5	4.24840	2.12800	8.51001	2.61365					
<b>Graphics</b>											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill						
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version							
Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2							
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV						
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A						
Group Comparisons													
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)								
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.35705	1.85955	0.3651	22.9207	Non-Significant Effect							
ANOVA Table													
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)							
Between	48.42056	48.42056	1	0.13	0.73029	Non-Significant Effect							
Error	3038.583	379.8229	8										
Total	3087.00356	428.24343	9										
ANOVA Assumptions													
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)								
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.00179	23.15450	0.31225	Equal Variances								
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92487		0.39940	Normal Distribution								
Data Summary													
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778		Mean		Minimum		Maximum	SD
100		5	32.477	11.544	70.600	23.871							
Graphics													

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 7 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:47 AM  
 Analysis: 06-1666-2816/B156603psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL								
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2									
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	72.74%							
Group Comparisons															
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)										
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.6627	1.85955	0.7369	1.17636	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	0.4393268	0.439327	1	0.44	0.52617	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	8.003755	1.000469	8												
Total	8.44308144	1.4397961	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variance	Variance Ratio F	4.98547	23.15450	0.14882	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88498		0.14871	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819									
100		5	2.03640	0.94800	3.45001	1.29098									
Graphics															

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A
Group Comparisons							
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.53638	1.85955	0.3032	35.989	Non-Significant Effect	
ANOVA Table							
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)	
Between	269.3819	269.3819	1	0.29	0.60630	Non-Significant Effect	
Error	7491.238	936.4048	8				
Total	7760.62021	1205.7887	9				
ANOVA Assumptions							
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)		
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.42893	23.15450	0.41109	Equal Variances		
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94678		0.63064	Normal Distribution		
Data Summary							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370	
100		5	56.103	22.704	113.68	36.423	
Graphics							

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.14854	1.85655	0.4428	3.66564	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.2143312	0.214331	1	0.02	0.68560	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	77.71687	9.714608	8						
Total	77.9311967	9.9289394	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.82040	23.15450	0.33935	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94597		0.62111	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data		
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	2.25514			
100		5	6.28480	3.07600	11.9600	3.78729			
<b>Graphics</b>									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Clean Air Network Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-04

Initial  
 Day 0 NT Day 12 NT Day 15 TP Day 19 NT Day 21 NT Day 23 TP Day 26 2w Day 33 B+

Biosafety Lab ID: PA 36 / S66-04 Sample No: 5117H8

CONC.	REPLICATE	8 seeds germinated								pH
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE ( <u>1-5</u> days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE ( <u>1-5</u> days after planting)	
Control	A	4	6	6	7	7	7	7-5	5	6.3
	B	2	4	4	6	7	7	6-5	5	
	C	5	7	7	7	7	7	7-5	5	
	D	6	6	6	6	6	6	6-5	5	
	E	7	8	9	9	10	10	10-5	5	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 8 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 5 Lg G, 1 med G, 1 sm G (removed)

Replicate B: 1 Lg G, 4 med G, 1 sm G (removed)

Replicate C: 5 Lg G, Removed: 2 med G

Replicate D: 3 Lg G, 2 med G, Removed: 1 med G

Replicate E: 5 Lg G, Removed: 1 Lg, 3 med, 1 sm G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg = # of large plants (tallest, 6+ shoots), Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tip

Replicate B: 1 Lg G, 2 Med G, 1 Sm G, 1 Sm G w/ 1 B shoot

Replicate C: 3 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/ 1 B tip each

Replicate D: 1 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/ 1 B tip each, 2 Sm - 16/16 w/ 2 B shoots

Replicate E: 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tip

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	64 mm	74 mm	55 mm	64 mm	53 mm
Replicate B	55 mm	93 mm	74 mm	64 mm	74 mm
Replicate C	95 mm	79 mm	53 mm	74 mm	74 mm
Replicate D	75 mm	60 mm	78 mm	53 mm	32 mm
Replicate E	100 mm	90 mm	72 mm	75 mm	68 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1019.97	1139.6	1040.64
Replicate B	1010.34	1062.1	1016.20
Replicate C	1010.95	1160.5	1037.42
Replicate D	985.24	1112.5	1006.14
Replicate E	792.61	1166.4	1020.46

1016.20

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A					
Replicate B					
Replicate C					
Replicate D					
Replicate E					

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	43 mm	67 mm	65 mm	67 mm	41 mm
Replicate B	15 mm	25 mm	28 mm	53 mm	52 mm
Replicate C	67 mm	56 mm	68 mm	99 mm	55 mm
Replicate D	92 mm	24 mm	74 mm	42 mm	82 mm
Replicate E	71 mm	64 mm	58 mm	53 mm	73 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1000.61	1164.2	1008.50
Replicate B	1020.23	1042.8	1021.95
Replicate C	1025.29	1222.0	1034.51
Replicate D	1010.78	1103.6	1018.08
Replicate E	1044.57	1284.4	1054.10

1039.90

Comments:

1004-  
1004-

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:24 AM  
 Test Link: 13-8778-5451/B156604psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Test No:	12-9523-2194	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	11-8681-3426	Code:	B1566-04	Client:		
Sample Date:	04 Apr-06	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	24h	Station:				
Comments:	J11JH8					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
06-8058-6086	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	20.96%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-1607-1216	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	17.96%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
11-2675-2308	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	25.75%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-4597-4713	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	37.50%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
15-5615-7255	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	39.71%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
04-1075-3129	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	48.66%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-9625-5204	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	54.49%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-3037-7884	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	43.45%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
00-3240-5620	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	42.74%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary



% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	64.8	46	81	6.1348	13.718	21.17%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	55.720	34.6	64.800	5.4415	12.168	21.84%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	38.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	24.881	10.352	34.758	4.0937	9.1538	36.79%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.95120	1.17200	5.57001	0.74109	1.65713	41.94%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	8.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	30.844	4.5140	48.884	7.4266	16.606	53.84%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.81720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.61000	0.34401	2.82400	0.39717	0.88809	55.16%
Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	55.725	14.866	83.642	11.491	25.695	46.11%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	5.56120	1.51602	8.39399	1.12635	2.51859	45.29%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:24 AM  
 Test Link: 13-8778-5451/B156604psc

<b>% Germination Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
<b>Average Height (mm) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		62.4000	46	75	59.6	81
<b>Average Length (mm) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		57.4000	34.6	59	62.8	64.8000
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	38.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		23.932	10.352	29.91	26.4520	34.7580
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	8.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		4.14000	1.17200	4.69401	4.18001	5.57001
<b>Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	48.99	42.488
100		32.718	4.51401	39.542	28.584	48.8840
<b>Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.57800	0.34401	1.84399	1.46000	2.82400
<b>Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		58.65	14.8860	69.4520	54.016	83.6420
<b>Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		5.71799	1.51602	6.53799	5.63999	8.39399

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-1.633	1.85955	0.9294	0.20917	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.084348	0.084348	1	2.67	0.14111	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.2530439	0.031630	8						
Total	0.33739194	0.1159785	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Modified Levene	4.80000	11.25862	0.05984	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81415		0.02153	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160		
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	1.34528		
<b>Graphics</b>									
									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:51 AM  
 Analysis: 13-1607-1216/B156604psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs. Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.85955	0.0860	13.6088	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	301.401	301.401	1	2.25	0.17192	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1071.168	133.896	8						
Total	1372.56900	435.29703	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.36371	23.15450	0.42519	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96837		0.87533	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9228			
100		5	64.8	46	81	13.718			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 9:23 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	25.75%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	2.81035	1.85955	0.0114	23.4896	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	3150.625	3150.625	1	7.90	0.02283	Significant Effect			
Error	3191.298	398.912	8						
Total	6341.9209	3549.5368	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.38881	23.15450	0.18106	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88498		0.14871	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	55.720	34.8	64.8	12.168			
Transformed Data									
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:51 AM  
 Analysis: 02-4597-4713/B156604psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	37.50%			
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.79139	1.85955	0.2258	11.1017	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	55.80611	55.80611	1	0.63	0.45154	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	712.8447	89.10558	8								
Total	768.650776	144.91169	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.12685	23.15450	0.91064	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84581		0.05178	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	9.717					
100		5	24.881	10.352	34.758	9.1538					
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	21		0.1111	0	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	2.546196	2.546196	1	0.91	0.36860	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	22.43875	2.804843	8							
Total	24.9849429	5.3510369	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.04281	23.15450	0.96857	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.78590		0.00977	Non-normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%		Original Data				Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222	6.80000	2.00000	10.0000	3.11448
100		5	3.95120	1.17200	5.57001	1.65713	4.20000	1.00000	8.00000	2.58844
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.62527	1.85955	0.2748	17.9443	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	91.01494	91.01494	1	0.39	0.54921	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1962.381	232.7976	8						
Total	1953.39592	323.81256	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.45274	23.15450	0.72627	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86473		0.08673	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778			
100		5	30.844	4.5140	48.884	16.606			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Akaike H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1'	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.01519	1.85055	0.4941	0.88128	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0001296	0.00013	1	0.00	0.98825	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	4.492009	0.561501	8						
Total	4.49213825	0.5616308	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.35927	23.15450	0.42618	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93228		0.47069	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.84667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.61000	0.34401	2.82400	0.88809			
				<b>Transformed Data</b>					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.84667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.61000	0.34401	2.82400	0.88809			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:51 AM  
 Analysis: 17-3037-7884/B156604psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1				
N/A										
43.45%										
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.6926	1.85955	0.2541	28.8851	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	289.3578	289.3578	1	0.48	0.50816	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	4825.742	603.2178	8							
Total	5115.09998	892.57558	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.20886	23.15450	0.85859	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83756		0.04125	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Original Data			Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370				
100		5	55.725	14.866	83.642	25.695				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Date Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	0.67228	1.85955	0.2602	2.81142	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	2.58272	2.58272	1	0.45	0.52034	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	45.71575	5.714468	8						
Total	48.2984681	8.2971888	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.24729	23.15450	0.83561	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83879		0.04267	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514			
100		5	5.58120	1.51802	8.39399	2.51859			
<b>Graphics</b>									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

Initial Day 0 ND Day 12 5 Day 14 10 NJ Day 18 TP Day 19 NJ Day 21 NJ Day 23 ED Day 26 Br Day 28 Br

		Bioassay Lab ID: PN-BC-15646 - n< Sample No: T115H4											
CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated										INITIAL (# planting)	FINAL (# 14 days Post-Emergence)
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (22 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (22 days after planting)				
Control	A	6	6	6	6	7	7	8-5	5	6.2	7.3		
	B	6	7	7	8	8	8	8-5	5				
	C	4	6	6	7	8	8	8-5	5				
	D	8	8	8	9	9	7	9-5	5				
	E	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3				

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 6 seedlings (leave the 6 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 2 Lg G, 3 med G

removed: 3 Sm G

Replicate B: 5 Lg G

removed: 2 Med G, 1 med w/brown tip

Replicate C: 5 Lg G

removed: 1 Sm G w/leaf drop, 1 Sm G, 1 med G

Replicate D: 5 Lg G

removed: 1 Lg G, 1 lg w/brown tip, 2 sm G

Replicate E: 2 Lg G, 1 Lg w/brown tip

removed: 1 bi-lobed leaf

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg (L) = 6 of large plants (tallest, 6+ shoots), Med (M) = 6 of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), Sm (S) = 6 small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 2 Lg G, 3 med G - 1 broad leaf plant noted

Replicate B: 3 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tip, 1 Med G w/ 1 B tip

Replicate C: 3 M G, 2 M G w/ 1 B shoot each

Replicate D: 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shoot

Replicate E: 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tip, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tip &amp; 1 B shoot, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shoot.

Measure Shoot Height:

Replicate	1st Seeding	2nd Seeding	3rd Seeding	4th Seeding	5th Seeding
Replicate A	51 mm	68 mm	41 mm	105 mm	41 mm
Replicate B	65 mm	71 mm	73 mm	66 mm	41 mm
Replicate C	59 mm	62 mm	79 mm	53 mm	57 mm
Replicate D	70 mm	71 mm	64 mm	94 mm	67 mm
Replicate E	52 mm	75 mm	61 mm	mm	mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Replicate	Tot Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	982.33	1052.4	993.94
Replicate B	978.83	1103.7	998.37
Replicate C	999.11	1077.7	1015.08
Replicate D	990.59	1130.7	1018.80
Replicate E	1027.91	1117.3	1042.81

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A: \_\_\_\_\_
- Replicate B: \_\_\_\_\_
- Replicate C: \_\_\_\_\_
- Replicate D: \_\_\_\_\_
- Replicate E: \_\_\_\_\_

Measure Root Length:

Replicate	1st Seeding	2nd Seeding	3rd Seeding	4th Seeding	5th Seeding
Replicate A	43 mm	57 mm	42 mm	85 mm	49 mm
Replicate B	86 mm	49 mm	78 mm	83 mm	87 mm
Replicate C	51 mm	62 mm	83 mm	101 mm	75 mm
Replicate D	88 mm	85 mm	67 mm	95 mm	71 mm
Replicate E	102 mm	75 mm	60 mm	mm	mm

Measure Root Weight:

Replicate	Tot Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	987.41	1089.1	992.69
Replicate B	1022.79	1232.0	1032.12
Replicate C	1019.29	1150.9	1026.90
Replicate D	1029.43	1200.0	1048.80
Replicate E	1026.48	1134.7	1031.84

1039.60

Comments:

-11-12

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic					CH2M HILL	
Test No:	03-3337-9738	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	14-5469-5117	Code:	B1568-05	Client:		
Sample Date:	04 Apr-06	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	24h	Station:				
Comments:	J11JH4					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
05-995B-0999	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	27.93%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
09-4865-9500	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	11.17%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-2897-9281	Average Length (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	25.19%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
12-7179-0270	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	32.37%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-1030-1329	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	33.30%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-5048-9747	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	36.45%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-4828-7799	Average Root WL (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.66%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-0228-6494	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	34.13%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-2803-0914	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	33.47%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	19.44%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	64.66	61.200	73.2	2.1913	4.8998	7.58%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	72.72	54	79.8	4.7702	10.666	14.87%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3458	9.717	32.82%
100		5	23.145	14.014	29.797	2.7717	6.1977	26.78%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.89222	34.11%
100		5	3.76653	2.32200	4.96667	0.46535	1.04058	27.63%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	48.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	31.738	20.338	41.842	3.7804	8.4532	26.63%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.65493	1.05601	2.03398	0.17121	0.38285	23.13%
Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	28.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	54.883	34.352	66.818	8.2951	14.076	25.65%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	5.42147	3.37800	6.75334	0.62011	1.38660	25.58%

## CETIS Test Summary

## % Germination Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000

## Average Height (mm) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	60.7	77
100		61.2000	64.2	62	73.2	62.7000

## Average Length (mm) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		54	76.6	74.4000	79.6	79

## Average AG Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		14.0140	24.974	20.118	26.822	29.7967

## Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.32200	3.90800	3.19401	4.44199	4.96687

## Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		20.3380	41.8420	26.3220	34.114	36.0733

## Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.05601	1.87601	1.52201	2.03398	1.78666

## Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		34.352	66.816	48.4400	60.936	65.87

## Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		3.37800	5.78400	4.71603	6.47598	6.75334

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill							
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version							
% Germination	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:55 AM	CETISv1.1.2							
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV						
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A						
<b>Group Comparisons</b>													
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	30		0.6548	3	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>													
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)							
Between	0.021087	0.021087	1	0.40	0.54474	Non-Significant Effect							
Error	0.4217399	0.052717	8										
Total	0.44282693	0.0738045	9										
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>													
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)								
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.50000	23.15450	0.70400	Equal Variances								
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.75864		0.00455	Non-normal Distribution								
<b>Data Summary</b>				Original Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.73861			
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	6.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.23607			
<b>Graphics</b>													

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:56 AM  
 Analysis: 09-4865-9500/B156605psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:55 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	11.17%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.44269	1.85955	0.0202	8.46534	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	309.1361	309.1361	1	5.97	0.04039	Significant Effect			
Error	414.4799	51.80999	8						
Total	723.616028	360.94607	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.31606	23.15450	0.27234	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90869		0.27211	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	64.66	61.2	73.2	4.8998			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic								CH2M HILL							
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Average Length (mm)	Comparison			08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 9:25 AM	CETISv1.1.2								
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	25.19%							
Group Comparisons															
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)										
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.49708	1.85955	0.0864	22.9794	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	855.625	855.625	1	2.24	0.17275	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	3054.178	381.772	8												
Total	3909.80103	1237.3970	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.71118	23.15450	0.11998	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88471		0.14774	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491									
100		5	72.72	54	79.8	10.666									
Graphics															

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.25344	1.85955	0.1227	9.58459	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	104.3463	104.3463	1	1.57	0.24544	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	531.3278	66.41598	8						
Total	635.674072	170.76223	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.45808	23.15450	0.40501	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84922		0.05686	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.805	12.833	36.826	9.717			
100		5	23.145	14.014	29.797	6.1977			
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.34382	1.85955	0.1079	1.65205	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	3.563297	3.563297	1	1.81	0.21587	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	15.78555	1.973194	8						
Total	19.3488472	5.5364908	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.64472	23.15450	0.36905	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87162		0.10441	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	1.69222			
100		5	3.76653	2.32200	4.96867	1.04056			
Transformed Data				Minimum	Maximum	SD			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	0.71108	1.85955	0.2488	13.4424	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	68.05597		66.05597	1	0.51	0.49724	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	1045.13		130.6412	8							
Total	1111.18549		196.69716	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F		2.65655	23.15450	0.36694	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.87165		0.10448	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778					
100		5	31.738	20.338	41.842	8.4532					
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.1217	1.85953	0.5469	0.57668	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0035600	0.003560	1	0.01	0.90615	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	1.923489	0.240436	8								
Total	1.92704911	0.2439961	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.28077	23.15450	0.44417	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85352		0.06397	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819					
100		5	1.85493	1.05601	2.03398	0.38285					
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.95081	1.85955	0.1848	22.6883	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	336.4471	336.4471	1	0.90	0.36954	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	2977.274	372.1593	8								
Total	3313.72122	708.60632	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.75655	23.15450	0.34972	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83700		0.04061	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean				
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370					
100		5	54.883	34.352	66.818	14.076					
				Transformed Data							
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.97653	1.85955	0.1787	2.20155	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	3.341636	3.341636	1	0.95	0.35740	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	28.03329	3.504161	8						
Total	31.3749232	6.8457971	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.64510	23.15450	0.36899	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85862		0.07350	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	2.25514			
100		5	5.42147	3.37800	6.75334	1.38660			
<b>Graphics</b>									

E 2748

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-3	Page 1 of 1		
Collector COLLUM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days		
Project Description 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location 600-131								SAF No. RC-051
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment					
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.							
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None					
Special Handling and/or Storage <b>NONE</b>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G					
		No. of Container(s)	I	I					
		Volume	1000g	3000g 4ccc	27 118145				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS 11-1-05 LRC.				Specimen (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1943; Soil Nitrification Toxicity ASTM E2172				
Sample No. J10DW4	Matrix SOIL	Sample Date 10-31-05	Sample Time 1530	X	X				
J10DW5	SOIL								
J10DW1	SOIL								
J10DW2	SOIL								
J10DW3	SOIL								
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				Matrix	
Relinquished By/Removed From 11-1-05 P/108	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In Robert Becker	Date/Time 11-1-05 10:35	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9040; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids				S=Soil SL=Soil/water SD=Soil SH=Soil/hyd W=Water O=Oil A=Air DL=Drum Solids DL=Drum Liquids T=Toxic U=Urgent L=Liquid V=Vegetable X=Other	
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	Biogasay ID = B1542-01					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title		Date/Time					
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By		Date/Time					

E 2801

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-4	Page. 1 of 1
Collector L COLLOM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location PIT 23		SAF No. RC-051			
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment	
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS NONE		Preservation	None	None			
Special Handling and/or Storage NONE		Type of Container	G/P	P/G			
		No. of Container(s)	1	1			
		Volume	100g	-300g (00g)	2-7-5 11-8-5		
SAMPLE ANALYSIS			See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Sed Ptac Toxicity ASTM E1963; Sed Nernstode Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time				
J10DV4	SOIL	11-6-05	16:00	1	1		-1
J10DV5	SOIL						
J10DV6	SOIL						
J10DV7	SOIL						
J10DV8	SOIL						
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names			
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M. Taff</i>	Date/Time 11-9-05 12:00	Received By/Stored In <i>Rich Weis</i>	Date/Time 11-9-05 12:00	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 3512; Ammonia - 3503; IC Anions - 3000; Percent Solids			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>51 11/10/05 to Per Rich Weis</i>			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>B. Weisay ID = B1542-02</i>			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title		Date/Time			
FINAL SAMPLE DEPOSITION	Disposal Method			Disposed By	Date/Time		

E 2 P3

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-9	Page 1 of 1	
Hector L. COLI.OM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCTBRA - Incremental Su		Sampling Location Upland Backfill Elevated-100-F-2			SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality		
Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1396	COA BESRASG520	Method of Shipment				
Invoiced To CH2MHIIJ.		Offsite Property No. A060151	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.					
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>Potentially Radioactive.</i>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>ONE</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1903; Soil Nematicide Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
I00T8	SOIL	11/14/05	17:21	1				
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names			SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS	
Transferred By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tupper</i>	Date/Time 11/15/05	Received By/Stored by <i>Nancy Karmann</i>	Date/Time 11/15/05				(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Microtox by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids Bioassay ID = B1542-03	
Transferred By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tupper</i>	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Transferred By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Transferred By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Transferred By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title					Date/Time	
IN-LAB SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposed Method	Disposed By					Date/Time	

Matrix \*

- Solid
- So-Liquid
- SO-Solid
- LI-Solid
- LI-Liquid
- T-Liquid
- WI-Wipe
- LI-Liquid
- V-Vegetation
- X-Other

E2897

E2897

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-20	Page 1 of 1
Collector L.COLLOM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCDRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location Riparian Low-Site#10 Downriver 100-D		SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality			
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment				
Shipped To CH2MILLI	Offsite Property No. A060151	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.					
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>	Preservation	None	None				
	Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
	No. of Container(s)	1	1				
	Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS		See Item (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Kennedy Toxicity ASTM E273				
		<i>ET 11-28-05</i>					
Sample No.	Matrix	Sample Date	Sample Time				
J10LJ5	SOIL	11-28-05	16:19	1			
			16:19				
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>CH2MILLI</i>	Date/Time <i>11-28-05</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Mandy DeJarlais</i>	Date/Time <i>11-28-05 /16:25</i>	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for instrumental preparation and analysis.			<i>1=soil 2=soil/water 3=soil 4=solvent W = Water O=Oil A=Air D=Dissolved Solids L=Dissolved Liquids T=Toxics W=Water L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2316; TOC - 9060; pH (Sab) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>Bidassay ZO = B1542-08</i>			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title			Date/Time		
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By			Date/Time		

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-69	Page 1 of 1	
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-K RIPARIAN #5			SAF No. RC-051			
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT			
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060131~P.R.C 376-06 A060380			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.			
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS  NONE  Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Curvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.</i>		Preservation	None	None				
		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS		See Item (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nonsolids Toxicity ASTM E2173					
		Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time			
		J11JB8	SOIL	3-21-06	16:00			
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *	
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>E112 Elizabeth M Tepper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time <i>3/22/06</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89.00 -- Total Sr analysis fraction.</li> <li>These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</li> </ul> <p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D432; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids</p> <p><i>F1349-01-Sel 2</i></p> <p><i>BIOASSY COPY</i></p>			Ind-nd SR-Subsampled SO-Soil B-Bridge W-Water O-Oil AA-Air D-Dissolved Solids DL-Dissolved Liquids T-Tissue BL-Blow L-Liquid V-Vapor/Steam X-Other	
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time		
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time		

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST							RC-051-68	Page 1 of 1		
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER			Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround		
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-K RIPARIAN #4					SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>	45 Days		
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT						
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.							
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>												
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.</i>		Preservation		Noon	Noon							
		Type of Container		G/P	P/G							
		No. of Container(s)		1	1							
		Volume		1000g	4000g							
SAMPLE ANALYSIS		See item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nematode Toxicity ASTM E2172									
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time									
J11JB7	SOIL	3-26-06	14:30	✓	—							
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names			SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS					Matrix *		
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In <i>C H M Hill</i>	Date/Time <i>→</i>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* These marks indicate that unless lined out, analysis to be included with Strontium-89/90 - Total Sr analysis fraction.</li> <li>~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</li> </ul> <p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9043; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 331.2; Ammonia - 330.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids</p> <p><i>F14Z101-3012</i></p> <p><i>Batch #2 Copy</i></p>					Soil Minerals So-Solid So-Liquid W-Water O-Oil A-Air Ds-Dissolved Solids Dl-Dissolved Liquids Tr-Temps Ww-Water L-Liquids Ve-Vegetation X-Other	
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time 3-27-06	Received By/Stored In <i>John K. Kessner 3/27/06 14:30</i>	Date/Time									
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time									
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time									
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time									
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title			Date/Time							
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method				Disposed By	Date/Time						

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST					RC-051-96	Page 1 of 1	
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER			Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/> 45 Days
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8			SAF No. RC-051				
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT			
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. ADG0151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEB OSPC				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		Preservation		None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Livermore.</i>		Type of Container		G/T	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)		1	1				
		Volume		1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Sediment Toxicity ASTM D1963; Soil Nematicide Toxicity ASTM E2122				
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time						
J11JHS	SOIL	3-28--06	18:00	1	1				
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names			SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2M HILL</i>	Date/Time <i>11:11</i> →		These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89/90 - Total Sr analysis fraction.				<i>Soil</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-28-06 11:30</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Joan Kessner/329661</i>	Date/Time <i>3-28-06 11:30</i>		These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC forms. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.				<i>Soil</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time <i>11:30</i>		(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2314; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 3512; Ammonia - 3503; IC Anions - 3000; Percent Solids				<i>Soil</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time		<i>Batch # 3</i>				<i>Soil</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time		<i>F1436-5012</i>				<i>Soil</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						<i>Soil</i>
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title							Date/Time
FINAL SAMPLE DEPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By							Date/Time

F-1470

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-99	Page 1 of 1
Collector STANKOVICH, M.	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH	Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location UPPER RIPARIAN #12		SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>			
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT			
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None			
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G			
		No. of Container(s)	- 1	1			
		Volume	1000g	4000g			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS			Sample (1) in Special Instructions	Soln Fliss Toxicity ASTM E1962; Sol Nonsolids Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time				
J11JH8	SOIL	4-3-06	18:45	1	1		
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M. Tepper</i>	Date/Time <i>10:30 4-4-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2M Hill</i>	Date/Time <i>10:30 4-4-06</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89,90 - Total Sr analysis fraction.</li> <li>These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</li> </ul>			
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>John W. Tepper</i>	Date/Time <i>4-4-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>fed</i>	Date/Time	<p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9043; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Axioms - 300.0; Dissert-Solids</p> <p><i>4-3-06</i></p>			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time	

F1471

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-95	Page 1 of 1	
Collector L. Coffey 3-24-06 STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-FRIPARIAN #7		SAF No. RC-051			Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/> 45 Days	
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT				
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lioville.</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plus Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nonradioactive Toxicity ASTM G3172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J11JH4	SOIL	4-3-06	19:00	1				-2
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names			SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *
Relinquished By/Received From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89,90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction.</li> <li>These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly formal COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</li> </ul> <p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids ET 4-3-06</p>			Sediment Stratified Soil Soil Slurry W+ Water D+Oil Air Air Other/Other Sub Te/Taste Wt/Wgt Lo/Liquid Ve/Vapors X-Other
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time 10:30 4-4-06	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time 4-4-06					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By				Title			Date/Time
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method				Disposed By			Date/Time